

ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives

Raden Roro Fatma Sari, SE., MSI (1) and Ir. Nida Garnida Fitrianti, MSI (2) (1) Universitas Sangga Buana YPKP | (2) Yayasan Kreatif Indonesia Emas

sarie801@yahoo.com ; NidaFitrianti@gmail.com

Abstract

This integrative evidence review examines esg disclosure quality and the reliability of corporate performance narratives by asking how esg disclosure quality is connected to the reliability of corporate performance narratives and which conditions determine whether that connection becomes operationally meaningful. The article synthesizes peer-reviewed and institutional literature relevant to reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, emphasizing mechanisms, boundary conditions, and measurement choices rather than a universal average effect. Evidence associated with Knudsen, 2020 and Dechow et al., 2010 is read with the wider source set to distinguish formal adoption from effective use, short-term response from durable capability, and observed association from causal interpretation. The synthesis identifies complementary roles for data provenance and reconciliation, data quality, and governance or coordination routines. No survey, interview, experiment, proprietary dataset, or regression estimate is represented as having been collected for this conceptual review.

Keywords: disclosure, quality, reliability, corporate, performance, narratives, accounting, integrative review

ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives addresses a contemporary question in reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems. The title implies a relationship between esg disclosure quality and the reliability of corporate performance narratives, but the direction and magnitude of that relationship cannot be assumed from terminology alone. This review identifies mechanisms that plausibly connect the two, conditions that make those mechanisms stronger or weaker, and measurements needed to evaluate them without overstating evidence. Context, timing, implementation quality, and decision rights are therefore treated as analytical variables.

1. Introduction

The introduction defines the decision problem and explains why a conditional interpretation is preferable to a deterministic one.

The topic is best understood as a sequence of decisions rather than as a single intervention. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through measurement discipline and disclosure consistency. The pathway is conditional on data quality and system integration; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 and Kraus et al., 2022 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process

expected to produce auditability.

The synthesis treats esg disclosure quality as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of the reliability of corporate performance narratives. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, exception detection and escalation changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while staff capability shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with IFRS Foundation, 2023 and OECD, 2023 and is especially relevant in the population implied by the title. The

practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

A recurring issue in research on ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. ESG Disclosure Quality may be associated with the reliability of corporate performance narratives, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when governance independence is weak. The mechanism examined here is governance oversight and professional judgment, which connects the focal practice to changes in decision usefulness.

Observed performance becomes interpretable only when implementation quality is separated from nominal adoption. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through technology-enabled traceability. The pathway is conditional on system integration and system integration; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Verhoef et al., 2021 and Dechow et al., 2010 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce compliance quality.

A recurring issue in research on ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. ESG Disclosure Quality may be associated with the reliability of corporate performance narratives, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when regulatory clarity is weak. The mechanism examined here is data provenance and reconciliation, which connects the focal practice to changes in information reliability. Sources such as Kraus et al., 2022 and Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

2. Conceptual and Literature Review

The literature review organizes evidence around mechanisms, complementary capabilities, and boundary conditions.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through exception detection and escalation. The pathway is conditional on data quality and regulatory clarity; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or

remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with IFRS Foundation, 2023 and Knudsen, 2020 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce control effectiveness.

The synthesis treats esg disclosure quality as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of the reliability of corporate performance narratives. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, governance oversight and professional judgment changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while staff capability shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The topic is best understood as a sequence of decisions rather than as a single intervention. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through technology-enabled traceability. The pathway is conditional on governance independence and governance independence; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Verhoef et al., 2021 and Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement

should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce compliance quality.

For ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking esg disclosure quality with the reliability of corporate performance narratives. Data provenance and reconciliation provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on system integration, regulatory clarity, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Kraus et al., 2022 and IFRS Foundation, 2023 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of information reliability.

A mechanism-based reading avoids assuming that identical labels produce identical outcomes. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through segregation of duties and authorization. The pathway is conditional on regulatory clarity and data quality; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce reporting timeliness.

3. Research Method

This manuscript is a conceptual narrative draft revised through a chronological source filter. Bibliography entries dated after 31 December 2023 and sentences explicitly invoking those years have been excluded. Original pre-cutoff references have been retained, with a limited historical source context added separately. This revision does not constitute a new systematic search, a meta-analysis, or a reproducible

coding exercise. The historical source cutoff is stated here so that the scope of the discussion is explicit.

The remaining discussion proposes mechanisms and research questions. It does not supply new observations, estimated coefficients, or verified causal effects. Earlier reference entries retained from the source draft are retained as supplied and should be assessed against the original publications when interpreting specific claims. Sources added during this revision support only the historical context described in the dedicated source-context section.

4. Evidence Synthesis: Mechanisms

The first synthesis layer asks how the proposed relationship works in practice and which intermediate processes can be observed before the final outcome changes.

A recurring issue in research on ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. ESG Disclosure Quality may be associated with the reliability of corporate performance narratives, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when data quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is technology-enabled traceability, which connects the focal practice to changes in compliance quality.

The synthesis treats esg disclosure quality as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of the reliability of corporate performance narratives. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, data provenance and reconciliation changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while staff capability shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Kraus et al., 2022 and Verhoef et al., 2021 and is especially relevant in the population implied by the title. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through segregation of duties and authorization. The pathway is conditional on governance independence and regulatory clarity; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with OECD, 2023 and Kraus et al., 2022 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance

leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce reporting timeliness.

The synthesis treats esg disclosure quality as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of the reliability of corporate performance narratives. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, measurement discipline and disclosure consistency changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while system integration shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Knudsen, 2020 and OECD, 2023 and is especially relevant in the population implied by the title. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

From a governance perspective, ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Exception detection and escalation is most credible when supported by regulatory clarity and governance independence. The reviewed literature (Dechow et al., 2010; Knudsen, 2020) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

5. Evidence Synthesis: Boundary Conditions

The second synthesis layer examines why results may differ across settings even when the focal intervention appears similar.

From a governance perspective, ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Data provenance and reconciliation is most credible when supported by data quality and staff capability. The reviewed literature (Kraus et al., 2022; Kraus et al., 2022) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The synthesis treats esg disclosure quality as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of the reliability of corporate performance narratives. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, segregation of duties and authorization changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while staff capability shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with OECD, 2023 and OECD, 2023 and is especially relevant in the population implied by the title. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

For ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking esg disclosure quality with the reliability of corporate performance narratives. Measurement discipline and disclosure consistency provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on governance independence, organizational scale, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Knudsen, 2020 and Knudsen, 2020 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of auditability.

A mechanism-based reading avoids assuming that identical labels produce identical outcomes. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through exception detection and escalation. The pathway is conditional on system integration and staff capability; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Dechow et al., 2010 and Dechow et al., 2010 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce control effectiveness.

From a governance perspective, ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Governance oversight and professional judgment is most credible when supported by regulatory clarity and system integration. The reviewed literature (Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013; Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

6. Integrated Discussion

The integrated discussion reconciles different findings by distinguishing mechanism, context, timing, and measurement.

A useful starting point is to distinguish formal adoption from effective use. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through segregation of duties and authorization. The pathway is conditional on data quality and governance independence; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with OECD, 2023 and Knudsen, 2020 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce reporting timeliness.

For ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking esg disclosure quality with the reliability of corporate performance narratives. Measurement discipline and disclosure consistency provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on staff capability, regulatory clarity, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Knudsen, 2020 and Dechow et al., 2010 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of auditability.

For ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking esg disclosure quality with the reliability of corporate performance narratives. Exception detection and escalation provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on governance independence, data quality, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Dechow et al., 2010 and Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of control effectiveness.

A useful starting point is to distinguish formal adoption from effective use. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through governance oversight and professional judgment. The pathway is conditional on system integration and governance independence; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect.

Evidence associated with Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 and IFRS Foundation, 2023 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce decision usefulness.

A recurring issue in research on ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. ESG Disclosure Quality may be associated with the reliability of corporate performance narratives, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when regulatory clarity is weak. The mechanism examined here is technology-enabled traceability, which connects the focal practice to changes in compliance quality.

7. Measurement and Decision Framework

A decision framework links leading process indicators, outcome indicators, and explicit assumptions about causality.

For ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking esg disclosure quality with the reliability of corporate performance narratives. Measurement discipline and disclosure consistency provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on data quality, system integration, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Knudsen, 2020 and Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of auditability.

For ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking esg disclosure quality with the reliability of corporate performance narratives. Exception detection and escalation provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on staff capability, organizational scale, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Dechow et al., 2010 and IFRS Foundation, 2023 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of control effectiveness.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through governance oversight and professional judgment. The pathway is conditional on governance independence and staff capability; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce decision usefulness.

For ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking esg disclosure quality with the reliability of corporate performance narratives. Technology-enabled traceability provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on

system integration, system integration, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by IFRS Foundation, 2023 and Verhoef et al., 2021 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of compliance quality.

A recurring issue in research on ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. ESG Disclosure Quality may be associated with the reliability of corporate performance narratives, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when regulatory clarity is weak. The mechanism examined here is data provenance and reconciliation, which connects the focal practice to changes in information reliability.

8. Practical Implications and Research Agenda

The practical implications translate the evidence into staged actions while preserving the limits of a literature-based design.

A recurring issue in research on ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. ESG Disclosure Quality may be associated with the reliability of corporate performance narratives, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when data quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is exception detection and escalation, which connects the focal practice to changes in control effectiveness.

The synthesis treats esg disclosure quality as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of the reliability of corporate performance narratives. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, governance oversight and professional judgment changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while staff capability shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 and Verhoef et al., 2021 and is especially relevant in the population implied by the title. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

For ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking esg disclosure quality with the reliability of corporate performance narratives. Technology-enabled traceability provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on governance independence, governance independence, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by IFRS Foundation, 2023 and Kraus et al., 2022 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of compliance quality.

For ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking esg disclosure quality with the reliability of corporate performance narratives. Data provenance and reconciliation provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on system integration, regulatory clarity, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of information reliability.

The topic is best understood as a sequence of decisions rather than as a single intervention. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can

influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through segregation of duties and authorization. The pathway is conditional on regulatory clarity and data quality; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Verhoef et al., 2021 and Knudsen, 2020 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce reporting timeliness.

9. Conclusion

The conclusion summarizes the evidence logic and priorities for author verification and future empirical work.

A useful starting point is to distinguish formal adoption from effective use. In ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, esg disclosure quality can influence the reliability of corporate performance narratives through governance oversight and professional judgment. The pathway is conditional on data quality and organizational scale; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 and Kraus et al., 2022 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce decision usefulness.

A recurring issue in research on ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. ESG Disclosure Quality may be associated with the reliability of corporate performance narratives, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when staff capability is weak. The mechanism examined here is technology-enabled traceability, which connects the focal practice to changes in compliance quality. Sources such as IFRS Foundation, 2023 and OECD, 2023 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

For ESG Disclosure Quality and the Reliability of Corporate Performance Narratives, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking esg disclosure quality with the reliability of corporate performance narratives. Segregation of duties and authorization provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on system integration, organizational scale, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Verhoef et al., 2021 and Dechow et al., 2010 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of reporting timeliness.

Historical source context and interpretation

The mechanism discussion is conceptual and must be read alongside the pre-cutoff works listed in References. Removing later sources does not establish a new empirical finding, a pooled effect or comprehensive coverage of the literature. Organization-specific claims require additional evidence before publication.

References

- Knudsen, D.-R. (2020). Elusive boundaries, power relations, and knowledge production: A systematic review of the literature on digitalization in accounting. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 36, 100441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2019.100441>
- Dechow, P., Ge, W., & Schrand, C. (2010). Understanding earnings quality: A review of the proxies, their determinants and their consequences. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 50(2-3), 344-401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2010.09.001>
- Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. (2013). *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. Durham, NC: COSO.
- IFRS Foundation. (2023). *IFRS S1 General Requirements for Disclosure of Sustainability-related Financial Information*. London: IFRS Foundation.
- Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J. Q., Fabian, N., & Haenlein, M. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 889-901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022>
- Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63, 102466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466>
- OECD. (2023). *OECD SME and Entrepreneurship Outlook 2023*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/342b8564-en>