

# Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains

Nia Riana (1) and Ricky Agusiady (2) (1) Universitas Widyatama, Bandung | (2) Universitas Sangga Buana Bandung

Nia.riana@widyatama.ac.id ; ricky.agusiady@usbypkp.ac.id

## Abstract

This integrative evidence review examines management accounting practices for cost resilience in volatile supply chains by asking how management accounting practices is connected to management accounting practices and which conditions determine whether that connection becomes operationally meaningful. The article synthesizes peer-reviewed and institutional literature relevant to reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, emphasizing mechanisms, boundary conditions, and measurement choices rather than a universal average effect. Evidence associated with Knudsen, 2020 and Dechow et al., 2010 is read with the wider source set to distinguish formal adoption from effective use, short-term response from durable capability, and observed association from causal interpretation. The synthesis identifies complementary roles for governance oversight and professional judgment, regulatory clarity, and governance or coordination routines. No survey, interview, experiment, proprietary dataset, or regression estimate is represented as having been collected for this conceptual review.

Keywords: management, accounting, practices, cost, resilience, volatile, supply, accounting, integrative review

Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains addresses a contemporary question in reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems. The title implies a relationship between management accounting practices and management accounting practices, but the direction and magnitude of that relationship cannot be assumed from terminology alone. This review identifies mechanisms that plausibly connect the two, conditions that make those mechanisms stronger or weaker, and measurements needed to evaluate them without overstating evidence. Context, timing, implementation quality, and decision rights are therefore treated as analytical variables.

## 1. Introduction

The introduction defines the decision problem and explains why a conditional interpretation is preferable to a deterministic one.

From a governance perspective, Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Data provenance and reconciliation is most credible when supported by regulatory clarity and staff capability. The reviewed literature (Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013; Kraus et al., 2022) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore

emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

Observed performance becomes interpretable only when implementation quality is separated from nominal adoption. In *Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains*, management accounting practices can influence management accounting practices through segregation of duties and authorization. The pathway is conditional on organizational scale and system integration; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with IFRS Foundation, 2023 and OECD, 2023 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the

intermediate process expected to produce reporting timeliness.

A recurring issue in research on *Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains* is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Management Accounting Practices may be associated with management accounting practices, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when data quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is measurement discipline and disclosure consistency, which connects the focal practice to changes in auditability.

For *Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains*, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking management accounting practices with management accounting practices. Exception detection and escalation provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on staff capability, staff capability, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Verhoef et al., 2021 and Dechow et al., 2010 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of control effectiveness.

The synthesis treats management accounting practices as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of management accounting practices. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, governance oversight and professional judgment changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while governance independence shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Kraus et al., 2022 and Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 and is especially relevant for cost resilience in volatile supply chains. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

## 2. Conceptual and Literature Review

The literature review organizes evidence around mechanisms, complementary capabilities, and boundary conditions.

For *Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains*, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking management accounting practices with management accounting practices. Segregation of duties and authorization provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on

regulatory clarity, governance independence, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by IFRS Foundation, 2023 and Knudsen, 2020 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of reporting timeliness.

The synthesis treats management accounting practices as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of management accounting practices. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, measurement discipline and disclosure consistency changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while organizational scale shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

From a governance perspective, Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Exception detection and escalation is most credible when supported by data quality and data quality. The reviewed literature (Verhoef et al., 2021; Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

A recurring issue in research on Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Management Accounting Practices may be associated with management accounting practices, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when staff capability is weak. The mechanism examined here is governance oversight and professional judgment, which connects the focal practice to changes in decision usefulness. Sources such as Kraus et al.,

2022 and IFRS Foundation, 2023 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

From a governance perspective, Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Technology-enabled traceability is most credible when supported by governance independence and regulatory clarity. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

### **3. Research Method**

This manuscript is a conceptual narrative draft revised through a chronological source filter. Bibliography entries dated after 31 December 2023 and sentences explicitly invoking those years have been excluded. Original pre-cutoff references have been retained, with a limited historical source context added separately. This revision does not constitute a new systematic search, a meta-analysis, or a reproducible coding exercise. The historical source cutoff is stated here so that the scope of the discussion is explicit.

The remaining discussion proposes mechanisms and research questions. It does not supply new observations, estimated coefficients, or verified causal effects. Earlier reference entries retained from the source draft are retained as supplied and should be assessed against the original publications when interpreting specific claims. Sources added during this revision support only the historical context described in the dedicated source-context section.

#### 4. Evidence Synthesis: Mechanisms

The first synthesis layer asks how the proposed relationship works in practice and which intermediate processes can be observed before the final outcome changes.

From a governance perspective, Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Exception detection and escalation is most credible when supported by regulatory clarity and regulatory clarity. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

For Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking management accounting practices with management accounting practices. Governance oversight and professional judgment provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on organizational scale, data quality, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Kraus et al., 2022 and Verhoef et al., 2021 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of decision usefulness.

A recurring issue in research on Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Management Accounting Practices may be associated with management accounting practices, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when data quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is technology-enabled traceability, which connects the focal practice to changes in compliance quality. Sources such as OECD, 2023 and Kraus et al., 2022 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains, management accounting practices can influence management accounting practices through data provenance and reconciliation. The pathway is conditional on staff capability and regulatory clarity; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Knudsen, 2020 and OECD, 2023 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce information reliability.

The synthesis treats management accounting practices as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of management accounting practices. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, segregation of duties and authorization changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while governance independence shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Dechow et al., 2010 and Knudsen, 2020 and is especially relevant for cost resilience in volatile supply chains. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

## 5. Evidence Synthesis: Boundary Conditions

The second synthesis layer examines why results may differ across settings even when the focal intervention appears similar.

A recurring issue in research on Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Management Accounting Practices may be associated with management accounting practices, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when regulatory clarity is weak. The mechanism examined here is governance oversight and professional judgment, which connects the focal practice to changes in decision usefulness. Sources such as Kraus et al., 2022 and Kraus et al., 2022 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

The synthesis treats management accounting practices as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of management accounting practices. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, technology-enabled traceability changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while organizational scale shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with OECD, 2023 and OECD, 2023 and is especially relevant for cost resilience in volatile supply chains. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

From a governance perspective, Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Data provenance and reconciliation is most credible when supported by data quality and system integration. The reviewed literature (Knudsen, 2020; Knudsen, 2020) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

A useful starting point is to distinguish formal adoption from effective use. In Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains, management accounting practices can influence management accounting practices through segregation of duties and authorization. The pathway is conditional on staff capability and organizational scale; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence

associated with Dechow et al., 2010 and Dechow et al., 2010 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce reporting timeliness.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In *Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains*, management accounting practices can influence management accounting practices through measurement discipline and disclosure consistency. The pathway is conditional on governance independence and staff capability; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 and Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as

background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce auditability.

## 6. Integrated Discussion

The integrated discussion reconciles different findings by distinguishing mechanism, context, timing, and measurement.

For *Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains*, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking management accounting practices with management accounting practices. Technology-enabled traceability provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on regulatory clarity, data quality, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by OECD, 2023 and Knudsen, 2020 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of compliance quality.

A recurring issue in research on *Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains* is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Management Accounting Practices may be associated with management accounting practices, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when organizational scale is weak. The mechanism examined here is data provenance and reconciliation, which connects the focal practice to changes in information reliability. Sources such as Knudsen, 2020 and Dechow et al., 2010 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

A recurring issue in research on *Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains* is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Management Accounting Practices may be associated with management accounting practices, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when data quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is segregation of duties and

authorization, which connects the focal practice to changes in reporting timeliness. Sources such as Dechow et al., 2010 and Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

A recurring issue in research on Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Management Accounting Practices may be associated with management accounting practices, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when staff capability is weak. The mechanism examined here is measurement discipline and disclosure consistency, which connects the focal practice to changes in auditability. Sources such as Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 and IFRS Foundation, 2023 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

A recurring issue in research on Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Management Accounting Practices may be associated with management accounting practices, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when governance independence is weak. The mechanism examined here is exception detection and escalation, which connects the focal practice to changes in control effectiveness.

## **7. Measurement and Decision Framework**

A decision framework links leading process indicators, outcome indicators, and explicit assumptions about causality.

From a governance perspective, Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Data provenance and reconciliation is most credible when supported by regulatory clarity and staff capability. The reviewed literature (Knudsen, 2020; Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013) indicates that these complements often explain

why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The synthesis treats management accounting practices as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of management accounting practices. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, segregation of duties and authorization changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while organizational scale shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Dechow et al., 2010 and IFRS Foundation, 2023 and is especially relevant for cost resilience in volatile supply chains. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

From a governance perspective, Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Measurement discipline and disclosure consistency is most credible when supported by data quality and organizational scale. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Exception detection and escalation is most credible when supported by staff capability and staff capability. The reviewed literature (IFRS Foundation, 2023; Verhoef et al., 2021) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The synthesis treats management accounting practices as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of management accounting practices. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, governance oversight and professional judgment changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while governance independence shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

## **8. Practical Implications and Research Agenda**

The practical implications translate the evidence into staged actions while preserving the limits of a literature-based design.

For Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking management accounting practices with management accounting practices. Segregation of duties and authorization provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on regulatory clarity, governance independence, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of reporting timeliness.

Observed performance becomes interpretable only when implementation quality is separated from nominal adoption. In Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains, management accounting practices can influence management accounting practices through measurement discipline and disclosure consistency. The pathway is conditional on organizational scale and regulatory clarity; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 and Verhoef et al., 2021 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce auditability.

For Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking management accounting practices with management accounting practices. Exception detection and escalation provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on data quality, data quality, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by IFRS Foundation, 2023 and Kraus et al., 2022 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of control effectiveness.

A recurring issue in research on Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Management Accounting Practices may be associated with management accounting practices, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when staff capability is weak. The mechanism examined here is governance oversight and professional judgment, which connects the focal practice to changes in decision usefulness.

For Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking management accounting practices with management accounting practices. Technology-enabled traceability provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on governance independence, regulatory clarity, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Verhoef et al., 2021 and Knudsen, 2020 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of compliance quality.

## 9. Conclusion

The conclusion summarizes the evidence logic and priorities for author verification and future empirical work.

The synthesis treats management accounting practices as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of management accounting practices. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, measurement discipline and disclosure consistency changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while regulatory clarity shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, 2013 and Kraus et al., 2022 and is especially relevant for cost resilience in volatile supply chains. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The synthesis treats management accounting practices as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of management accounting practices. In reporting, assurance, control, and financial decision systems, exception detection and escalation changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while organizational scale shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with IFRS Foundation, 2023 and OECD, 2023 and is especially relevant for cost resilience in volatile supply chains. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In *Management Accounting Practices for Cost Resilience in Volatile Supply Chains*, management accounting practices can influence management accounting practices through technology-enabled traceability. The pathway is conditional on staff capability and system integration; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Verhoef et al., 2021 and Dechow et al., 2010 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For finance leaders, auditors, owners, regulators, and governance bodies, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce compliance quality.

## Historical source context and interpretation

The mechanism discussion is conceptual and must be read alongside the pre-cutoff works listed in References. Removing later sources does not establish a new empirical finding, a pooled effect or comprehensive coverage of the literature. Organization-specific claims require additional evidence before publication.

## References

- Knudsen, D.-R. (2020). Elusive boundaries, power relations, and knowledge production: A systematic review of the literature on digitalization in accounting. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 36, 100441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2019.100441>
- Dechow, P., Ge, W., & Schrand, C. (2010). Understanding earnings quality: A review of the proxies, their determinants and their consequences. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 50(2-3), 344-401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2010.09.001>
- Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. (2013). *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. Durham, NC: COSO.
- IFRS Foundation. (2023). *IFRS S1 General Requirements for Disclosure of Sustainability-related Financial Information*. London: IFRS Foundation.
- Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J. Q., Fabian, N., & Haenlein, M. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 889-901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022>
- Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63, 102466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466>
- OECD. (2023). *OECD SME and Entrepreneurship Outlook 2023*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/342b8564-en>