

Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers

Anggun Yolistina, S.Kom (1) and Nazhira Nindya Padma Hanuun, SH (2) (1) Universitas Sangga Buana YPKP | (2) Yayasan Kreatif Indonesia Emas

Anggun_yolistina@gmail.com ; nazhiranindya@gmail.com

Abstract

This integrative evidence review examines social commerce trust and purchase conversion among Indonesian digital consumers by asking how social commerce trust is connected to purchase conversion and which conditions determine whether that connection becomes operationally meaningful. The article synthesizes peer-reviewed and institutional literature relevant to customer, market, channel, and business-model systems, emphasizing mechanisms, boundary conditions, and measurement choices rather than a universal average effect. Evidence associated with Lemon et al., 2016 and Hajli, 2015 is read with the wider source set to distinguish formal adoption from effective use, short-term response from durable capability, and observed association from causal interpretation. The synthesis identifies complementary roles for customer-journey coordination, customer heterogeneity, and governance or coordination routines. No survey, interview, experiment, proprietary dataset, or regression estimate is represented as having been collected for this conceptual review.

Keywords: social, commerce, trust, purchase, conversion, Indonesian, digital, business, integrative review

Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers addresses a contemporary question in customer, market, channel, and business-model systems. The title implies a relationship between social commerce trust and purchase conversion, but the direction and magnitude of that relationship cannot be assumed from terminology alone. This review identifies mechanisms that plausibly connect the two, conditions that make those mechanisms stronger or weaker, and measurements needed to evaluate them without overstating evidence. Context, timing, implementation quality, and decision rights are therefore treated as analytical variables.

1. Introduction

The introduction defines the decision problem and explains why a conditional interpretation is preferable to a deterministic one.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Market sensing and rapid experimentation provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on customer heterogeneity, channel maturity, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of retention.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Channel

integration and consistency provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on digital capability, partner dependence, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of market access.

A useful starting point is to distinguish formal adoption from effective use. In Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, social commerce trust can influence purchase conversion through relationship repair and switching-cost management. The pathway is conditional on

competitive intensity and digital capability; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Kraus et al., 2022 and Lemon et al., 2016 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For business owners, marketing leaders, service managers, and entrepreneurs, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce service recovery.

From a governance perspective, Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Ecosystem coordination is most credible when supported by channel maturity and channel maturity. The reviewed literature (OECD, 2023; Hajli, 2015) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Customer-journey coordination provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on brand credibility, partner dependence, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of customer trust.

2. Conceptual and Literature Review

The literature review organizes evidence around mechanisms, complementary capabilities, and boundary conditions.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, social commerce trust can influence purchase conversion through channel integration and consistency. The pathway is conditional on customer heterogeneity and brand credibility; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Verhoef et al., 2021 and Lemon et al., 2016 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For business owners, marketing leaders, service managers, and entrepreneurs, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce market access.

From a governance perspective, Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Relationship repair and switching-cost management is most credible when supported by digital capability and customer heterogeneity. The reviewed literature (Kraus et al., 2022; Hajli, 2015) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Ecosystem coordination is most credible when supported by competitive intensity and competitive intensity. The reviewed literature (OECD, 2023; Morhart et al., 2015) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Customer-journey coordination is most credible when supported by channel maturity and brand credibility. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

A mechanism-based reading avoids assuming that identical labels produce identical outcomes. In Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, social commerce trust can influence purchase conversion through trust formation and risk reduction. The pathway is conditional on brand credibility and customer heterogeneity; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For business owners, marketing leaders, service managers, and entrepreneurs, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce conversion quality.

3. Research Method

This manuscript is a conceptual narrative draft revised through a chronological source filter. Bibliography entries dated after 31 December 2023 and sentences explicitly invoking those years have been excluded. Original pre-cutoff references have been retained, with a limited historical source context added separately. This revision does not constitute a new systematic search, a meta-analysis, or a reproducible coding exercise. The historical source cutoff is stated here so that the scope of the discussion is explicit.

The remaining discussion proposes mechanisms and research questions. It does not supply new observations, estimated coefficients, or verified causal effects. Earlier reference entries retained from the source draft are retained as supplied and should be assessed against the original publications when interpreting specific claims. Sources added during this revision support only the historical context

described in the dedicated source-context section.

4. Evidence Synthesis: Mechanisms

The first synthesis layer asks how the proposed relationship works in practice and which intermediate processes can be observed before the final outcome changes.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Ecosystem coordination provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on customer heterogeneity, customer heterogeneity, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by OECD, 2023 and Kraus et al., 2022 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of commercial resilience.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Customer-journey coordination provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on digital capability, competitive intensity, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of customer trust.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Trust formation and risk reduction provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on competitive intensity, brand credibility, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of conversion quality.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Market sensing and rapid experimentation provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on channel maturity, customer heterogeneity, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of retention.

A recurring issue in research on Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Social Commerce Trust may be associated with purchase conversion, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when brand credibility is weak. The mechanism examined here is channel integration and consistency, which connects the

focal practice to changes in market access. Sources such as Hajli, 2015 and Lemon et al., 2016 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

5. Evidence Synthesis: Boundary Conditions

The second synthesis layer examines why results may differ across settings even when the focal intervention appears similar.

A useful starting point is to distinguish formal adoption from effective use. In Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, social commerce trust can influence purchase conversion through customer-journey coordination. The pathway is conditional on customer heterogeneity and digital capability; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For business owners, marketing leaders, service managers, and entrepreneurs, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce customer trust.

A recurring issue in research on Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Social Commerce Trust may be associated with purchase conversion, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when digital capability is weak. The mechanism examined here is trust formation and risk reduction, which connects the focal practice to changes in conversion quality.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Market sensing and rapid experimentation provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on competitive intensity, partner dependence, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Lemon et al., 2016 and Lemon et al., 2016 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of retention.

A recurring issue in research on Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Social Commerce Trust may be associated with purchase conversion, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when channel maturity is weak. The mechanism examined here is channel integration and consistency, which connects the focal practice to changes in market access. Sources such as Hajli, 2015 and Hajli, 2015 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

A recurring issue in research on Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Social Commerce Trust may be associated with purchase conversion, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when brand credibility is weak. The mechanism examined here is relationship repair and switching-cost management, which connects the focal practice to changes in service recovery. Sources such as Morhart et al., 2015 and Morhart et al., 2015 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

6. Integrated Discussion

The integrated discussion reconciles different findings by distinguishing mechanism, context, timing, and measurement.

A recurring issue in research on Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Social Commerce Trust may be associated with purchase conversion, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when customer heterogeneity is weak. The mechanism examined here is trust formation and risk reduction, which connects the focal practice to changes in conversion quality.

The synthesis treats social commerce trust as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of purchase conversion. In customer, market, channel, and business-model systems, market sensing and rapid experimentation changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while digital capability shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Lemon et al., 2016 and Hajli, 2015 and is especially relevant among Indonesian digital consumers. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

A recurring issue in research on Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Social Commerce Trust may be associated with purchase conversion, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when competitive intensity is weak. The mechanism examined here is channel integration and consistency, which connects the focal practice to changes in market access. Sources such as Hajli, 2015 and Morhart et al., 2015 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Relationship repair and switching-cost management provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on channel maturity, competitive intensity, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Morhart et al., 2015 and Verhoef et al., 2021 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of service recovery.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Ecosystem coordination provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on brand credibility, brand credibility, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Verhoef et al., 2021 and Kraus et al., 2022 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of commercial resilience.

7. Measurement and Decision Framework

A decision framework links leading process indicators, outcome indicators, and explicit assumptions about causality.

From a governance perspective, Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Market sensing and rapid experimentation is most credible when supported by customer heterogeneity and channel maturity. The reviewed literature (Lemon et al., 2016; Morhart et al., 2015) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Channel integration and consistency is most credible when supported by digital capability and partner dependence. The reviewed literature (Hajli, 2015; Verhoef et al., 2021) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, social commerce trust can influence purchase conversion through relationship repair and switching-cost management. The pathway is conditional on competitive intensity and digital capability; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with

limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Morhart et al., 2015 and Kraus et al., 2022 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For business owners, marketing leaders, service managers, and entrepreneurs, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce service recovery.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Ecosystem coordination provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on channel maturity, channel maturity, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Verhoef et al., 2021 and OECD, 2023 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of commercial resilience.

A recurring issue in research on Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Social Commerce Trust may be associated with purchase conversion, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset

when brand credibility is weak. The mechanism examined here is customer-journey coordination, which connects the focal practice to changes in customer trust.

8. Practical Implications and Research Agenda

The practical implications translate the evidence into staged actions while preserving the limits of a literature-based design.

The synthesis treats social commerce trust as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of purchase conversion. In customer, market, channel, and business-model systems, channel integration and consistency changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while customer heterogeneity shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Hajli, 2015 and Kraus et al., 2022 and is especially relevant among Indonesian digital consumers. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Relationship repair and switching-cost management provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on digital capability, customer heterogeneity, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Morhart et al., 2015 and OECD, 2023 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of service recovery.

From a governance perspective, Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Ecosystem coordination is most credible when supported by competitive intensity and competitive intensity. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Customer-journey coordination is most credible when supported by channel maturity and brand credibility. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

For Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking social commerce trust with purchase conversion. Trust formation and risk reduction provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on brand credibility, customer heterogeneity, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by OECD, 2023 and Lemon et al., 2016 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and

execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of conversion quality.

9. Conclusion

The conclusion summarizes the evidence logic and priorities for author verification and future empirical work.

A recurring issue in research on Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Social Commerce Trust may be associated with purchase conversion, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when customer heterogeneity is weak. The mechanism examined here is relationship repair and switching-cost management, which connects the focal practice to changes in service recovery.

The synthesis treats social commerce trust as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of purchase conversion. In customer, market, channel, and business-model systems, ecosystem coordination changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while digital capability shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

From a governance perspective, Social Commerce Trust and Purchase Conversion among Indonesian Digital Consumers requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Trust formation and risk reduction is most credible when supported by channel maturity and partner dependence. The reviewed literature (OECD, 2023; Hajli, 2015) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

Historical source context and interpretation

The mechanism discussion is conceptual and must be read alongside the pre-cutoff works listed in References. Removing later sources does not establish a new empirical finding, a pooled effect or comprehensive coverage of the literature. Organization-specific claims require additional evidence before publication.

References

- Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 69-96. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0420>
- Hajli, N. (2015). Social commerce constructs and consumer's intention to buy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 35(2), 183-191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2014.12.005>
- Morhart, F., Malar, L., Guevremont, A., Girardin, F., & Grohmann, B. (2015). Brand authenticity: An integrative framework and measurement scale. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 25(2), 200-218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2014.11.006>
- Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J. Q., Fabian, N., & Haenlein, M. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 889-901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022>
- Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63, 102466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466>
- OECD. (2023). *OECD SME and Entrepreneurship Outlook 2023*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/342b8564-en>