

Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals

Ir. Nida Garnida Fitrianti, MSI (1) and Diah Fatma Sjoraida (2) (1) Yayasan Kreatif Indonesia Emas | (2) Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung

NidaFitrianti@gmail.com ; Diah.fatma@unpad.ac.id

Abstract

This integrative evidence review examines managerial coaching and career commitment among young professionals by asking how managerial coaching is connected to career commitment and which conditions determine whether that connection becomes operationally meaningful. The article synthesizes peer-reviewed and institutional literature relevant to people, leadership, work-design, and organizational change systems, emphasizing mechanisms, boundary conditions, and measurement choices rather than a universal average effect. Evidence associated with Edmondson et al., 2014 and Marler et al., 2017 is read with the wider source set to distinguish formal adoption from effective use, short-term response from durable capability, and observed association from causal interpretation. The synthesis identifies complementary roles for psychological safety and voice, digital literacy, and governance or coordination routines. No survey, interview, experiment, proprietary dataset, or regression estimate is represented as having been collected for this conceptual review.

Keywords: managerial, coaching, career, commitment, young, professionals, management, integrative review

Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals addresses a contemporary question in people, leadership, work-design, and organizational change systems. The title implies a relationship between managerial coaching and career commitment, but the direction and magnitude of that relationship cannot be assumed from terminology alone. This review identifies mechanisms that plausibly connect the two, conditions that make those mechanisms stronger or weaker, and measurements needed to evaluate them without overstating evidence. Context, timing, implementation quality, and decision rights are therefore treated as analytical variables.

1. Introduction

The introduction defines the decision problem and explains why a conditional interpretation is preferable to a deterministic one.

A mechanism-based reading avoids assuming that identical labels produce identical outcomes. In Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals, managerial coaching can influence career commitment through work design and autonomy. The pathway is conditional on digital literacy and resource slack; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response

or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Doz et al., 2010 and Kraus et al., 2022 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For executives, line managers, HR leaders, team leaders, and employees, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce innovation performance.

A recurring issue in research on Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Managerial Coaching may be associated with career commitment, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when team interdependence is weak. The mechanism examined here is performance feedback and alignment, which connects the focal practice to changes in service quality.

The synthesis treats managerial coaching as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of career commitment. In people, leadership, work-design, and organizational change systems, talent mobility and succession routines changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while workforce diversity shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

From a governance perspective, Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Sensemaking and change communication is most credible when supported by resource slack and resource slack. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Psychological safety and voice is most credible when supported by change fatigue and leadership credibility. The reviewed literature (Kraus et al., 2022; Doz et al., 2010) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

2. Conceptual and Literature Review

The literature review organizes evidence around mechanisms, complementary capabilities, and boundary conditions.

From a governance perspective, Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Performance feedback and alignment is

most credible when supported by digital literacy and change fatigue. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals*, managerial coaching can influence career commitment through talent mobility and succession routines. The pathway is conditional on team interdependence and digital literacy; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For executives, line managers, HR leaders, team leaders, and employees, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce organizational resilience.

For *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals*, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking managerial coaching with career commitment. Sensemaking and change communication provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on workforce diversity, workforce diversity, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of employee readiness.

A recurring issue in research on *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals* is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Managerial Coaching may be associated with career commitment, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when resource slack is weak. The mechanism examined here is psychological safety and voice, which connects the focal practice to changes in role clarity.

For *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals*, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking managerial coaching with career commitment. Capability building and coaching provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on change fatigue, digital literacy, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of learning speed.

3. Research Method

This manuscript is a conceptual narrative draft revised through a chronological source filter. Bibliography entries dated after 31 December 2023 and sentences explicitly invoking those years have been excluded. Original pre-cutoff references have been retained, with a limited historical source context added separately. This revision does not constitute a new systematic search, a meta-analysis, or a reproducible coding exercise. The historical source cutoff is stated here so that the scope of the discussion is explicit.

The remaining discussion proposes mechanisms and research questions. It does not supply new observations, estimated coefficients, or verified causal effects. Earlier reference entries retained from the

source draft are retained as supplied and should be assessed against the original publications when interpreting specific claims. Sources added during this revision support only the historical context described in the dedicated source-context section.

4. Evidence Synthesis: Mechanisms

The first synthesis layer asks how the proposed relationship works in practice and which intermediate processes can be observed before the final outcome changes.

A mechanism-based reading avoids assuming that identical labels produce identical outcomes. In *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals*, managerial coaching can influence career commitment through sensemaking and change communication. The pathway is conditional on digital literacy and digital literacy; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For executives, line managers, HR leaders, team leaders, and employees, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce employee readiness.

Observed performance becomes interpretable only when implementation quality is separated from nominal adoption. In *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals*, managerial coaching can influence career commitment through psychological safety and voice. The pathway is conditional on team interdependence and workforce diversity; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For executives, line managers, HR leaders, team leaders, and employees, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce role clarity.

Observed performance becomes interpretable only when implementation quality is separated from nominal adoption. In *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals*, managerial coaching can influence career commitment through capability building and coaching. The pathway is conditional on workforce diversity and change fatigue; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Verhoef et al., 2021 and Kraus et al., 2022 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For executives, line managers, HR leaders, team leaders, and employees, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce learning speed.

For *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals*, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking managerial coaching with career commitment. Work design and autonomy provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on resource slack, digital literacy, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Edmondson et al., 2014 and Verhoef et al.,

2021 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of innovation performance.

A recurring issue in research on Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Managerial Coaching may be associated with career commitment, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when change fatigue is weak. The mechanism examined here is performance feedback and alignment, which connects the focal practice to changes in service quality. Sources such as Marler et al., 2017 and Edmondson et al., 2014 are therefore

interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

5. Evidence Synthesis: Boundary Conditions

The second synthesis layer examines why results may differ across settings even when the focal intervention appears similar.

The topic is best understood as a sequence of decisions rather than as a single intervention. In Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals, managerial coaching can influence career commitment through psychological safety and voice. The pathway is conditional on digital literacy and team interdependence; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Kraus et al., 2022 and Kraus et al., 2022 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For executives, line managers, HR leaders, team leaders, and employees, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce role clarity.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals, managerial coaching can influence career commitment through capability building and coaching. The pathway is conditional on team interdependence and resource slack; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Verhoef et al., 2021 and Verhoef et al., 2021 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For executives, line managers, HR leaders, team leaders, and employees, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce learning speed.

For Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking managerial coaching with career commitment. Work design and autonomy provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on workforce diversity, leadership

credibility, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by Edmondson et al., 2014 and Edmondson et al., 2014 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of innovation performance.

From a governance perspective, Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Performance feedback and alignment is most credible when supported by resource slack and team interdependence. The reviewed literature (Marler et al., 2017; Marler et al., 2017) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The synthesis treats managerial coaching as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of career commitment. In people, leadership, work-design, and organizational change systems, talent mobility and succession routines changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while change fatigue shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Doz et al., 2010 and Doz et al., 2010 and is especially relevant among young professionals. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

6. Integrated Discussion

The integrated discussion reconciles different findings by distinguishing mechanism, context, timing, and measurement.

From a governance perspective, Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Capability building and coaching is most credible when

supported by digital literacy and workforce diversity. The reviewed literature (Verhoef et al., 2021; Edmondson et al., 2014) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The synthesis treats managerial coaching as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of career commitment. In people, leadership, work-design, and organizational change systems, work design and autonomy changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while team interdependence shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Edmondson et al., 2014 and Marler et al., 2017 and is especially relevant among young professionals. The practical

implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

A recurring issue in research on Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Managerial Coaching may be associated with career commitment, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when workforce diversity is weak. The mechanism examined here is performance feedback and alignment, which connects the focal practice to changes in service quality. Sources such as Marler et al., 2017 and Doz et al., 2010 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

For Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking managerial coaching with career commitment. Talent mobility and succession routines provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on resource slack, workforce diversity, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of organizational resilience.

From a governance perspective, Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Sensemaking and change communication is most credible when supported by change fatigue and change fatigue. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

7. Measurement and Decision Framework

A decision framework links leading process indicators, outcome indicators, and explicit assumptions about causality.

From a governance perspective, Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Work design and autonomy is most credible when supported by digital literacy and resource slack. The reviewed literature (Edmondson et al., 2014; Doz et al., 2010) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The synthesis treats managerial coaching as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of career commitment. In people, leadership, work-design, and organizational change systems, performance feedback and alignment changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while team interdependence shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The synthesis treats managerial coaching as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of career commitment. In people, leadership, work-design, and organizational change systems, talent mobility and succession routines changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while workforce diversity shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

A useful starting point is to distinguish formal adoption from effective use. In *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals*, managerial coaching can influence career commitment through

sensemaking and change communication. The pathway is conditional on resource slack and resource slack; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For executives, line managers, HR leaders, team leaders, and employees, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce employee readiness.

A recurring issue in research on *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals* is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Managerial Coaching may be associated with career commitment, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when change fatigue is weak. The mechanism examined here is psychological safety and voice, which connects the focal practice to changes in role clarity.

8. Practical Implications and Research Agenda

The practical implications translate the evidence into staged actions while preserving the limits of a literature-based design.

From a governance perspective, *Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals* requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Performance feedback and alignment is most credible when supported by digital literacy and change fatigue. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The synthesis treats managerial coaching as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of career commitment. In people, leadership, work-design, and organizational change systems, talent mobility and succession routines changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while team interdependence shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The synthesis treats managerial coaching as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of career commitment. In people, leadership, work-design, and organizational change systems, sensemaking

and change communication changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while workforce diversity shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

A recurring issue in research on Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Managerial Coaching may be associated with career commitment, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when resource slack is weak. The mechanism examined here is psychological safety and voice, which connects the focal practice to changes in role clarity.

For Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking managerial coaching with career commitment. Capability building and coaching provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on change fatigue, digital literacy, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of learning speed.

9. Conclusion

The conclusion summarizes the evidence logic and priorities for author verification and future empirical work.

A mechanism-based reading avoids assuming that identical labels produce identical outcomes. In Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals, managerial coaching can influence career commitment through talent mobility and succession routines. The pathway is conditional on digital literacy and leadership credibility; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Doz et al., 2010 and Kraus et al., 2022 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For

executives, line managers, HR leaders, team leaders, and employees, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce organizational resilience.

For Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking managerial coaching with career commitment. Sensemaking and change communication provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on team interdependence, team interdependence, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of employee readiness.

From a governance perspective, Managerial Coaching and Career Commitment among Young Professionals requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Capability building and coaching is most

credible when supported by resource slack and leadership credibility. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

Historical source context and interpretation

The Future of Jobs Report 2023 provides pre-cutoff context on employer expectations about changing jobs and skills (World Economic Forum, 2023). Expectations reported in this source are not realized outcomes or causal estimates. The managerial mechanisms discussed here remain propositions that require organization-specific evidence.

References

- Edmondson, A. C., & Lei, Z. (2014). Psychological safety: The history, renaissance, and future of an interpersonal construct. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1, 23-43. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091305>
- Marler, J. H., & Boudreau, J. W. (2017). An evidence-based review of HR Analytics. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(1), 3-26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2016.1244699>
- Doz, Y. L., & Kosonen, M. (2010). Embedding strategic agility: A leadership agenda for accelerating business model renewal. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2-3), 370-382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.006>
- Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63, 102466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466>
- Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J. Q., Fabian, N., & Haenlein, M. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 889-901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022>
- World Economic Forum. (2023). The Future of Jobs Report 2023. <https://www.weforum.org/publications/the-future-of-jobs-report-2023/>