

Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties

Adang Haryaman (1) and Diah Fatma Sjoraida (2) (1) Universitas Logistik dan Bisnis Internasional | (2) Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung

adang@ulbi.ac.id ; Diah.fatma@unpad.ac.id

Abstract

This integrative evidence review examines green building management and resource efficiency in commercial properties by asking how green building management is connected to resource efficiency and which conditions determine whether that connection becomes operationally meaningful. The article synthesizes peer-reviewed and institutional literature relevant to industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, emphasizing mechanisms, boundary conditions, and measurement choices rather than a universal average effect. The synthesis identifies complementary roles for risk identification and resilience engineering, technical standards, and governance or coordination routines. No survey, interview, experiment, proprietary dataset, or regression estimate is represented as having been collected for this conceptual review.

Keywords: green, building, management, resource, efficiency, commercial, properties, engineering, integrative review

Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties addresses a contemporary question in industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments. The title implies a relationship between green building management and resource efficiency, but the direction and magnitude of that relationship cannot be assumed from terminology alone. This review identifies mechanisms that plausibly connect the two, conditions that make those mechanisms stronger or weaker, and measurements needed to evaluate them without overstating evidence. Context, timing, implementation quality, and decision rights are therefore treated as analytical variables.

1. Introduction

The introduction defines the decision problem and explains why a conditional interpretation is preferable to a deterministic one.

A recurring issue in research on Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Green Building Management may be associated with resource efficiency, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when technical standards is weak. The mechanism examined here is predictive analytics and

maintenance planning, which connects the focal practice to changes in energy efficiency.

A recurring issue in research on Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Green Building Management may be associated with resource efficiency, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when sensor quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is systems integration and interoperability, which connects the focal practice to changes in lifecycle value.

From a governance perspective, Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Lifecycle costing and asset prioritization is most credible when supported by legacy-system compatibility and sensor quality. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

A recurring issue in research on Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Green Building Management may be associated with resource efficiency, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when maintenance capability is weak. The mechanism examined here is resource-loop design and waste prevention, which connects the focal practice to changes in safety.

For Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking green building management with resource efficiency. Risk identification and resilience engineering provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on capital constraints, operating environment, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of operational continuity.

2. Conceptual and Literature Review

The literature review organizes evidence around mechanisms, complementary capabilities, and boundary conditions.

The synthesis treats green building management as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of resource efficiency. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, systems integration and interoperability changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while technical standards shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The synthesis treats green building management as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of resource efficiency. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, lifecycle costing and asset prioritization changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while sensor quality shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

For Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking green building management with resource efficiency. Resource-loop design and waste prevention provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on legacy-system compatibility, legacy-system compatibility, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of safety.

From a governance perspective, Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Risk identification and resilience engineering is most credible when supported by maintenance capability and capital constraints. The reviewed literature (Kraus et al., 2022; Tao et al., 2018) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

For Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking green building management with resource efficiency. Sensing and condition monitoring provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on capital constraints, technical standards, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of reliability.

3. Research Method

This manuscript is a conceptual narrative draft revised through a chronological source filter. Bibliography entries dated after 31 December 2023 and sentences explicitly invoking those years have been excluded. Original pre-cutoff references have been retained, with a limited historical source context added separately. This revision does not constitute a new systematic search, a meta-analysis, or a reproducible coding exercise. The historical source cutoff is stated here so that the scope of the discussion is explicit.

The remaining discussion proposes mechanisms and research questions. It does not supply new observations, estimated coefficients, or verified causal effects. Earlier reference entries retained from the

source draft are retained as supplied and should be assessed against the original publications when interpreting specific claims. Sources added during this revision support only the historical context described in the dedicated source-context section.

4. Evidence Synthesis: Mechanisms

The first synthesis layer asks how the proposed relationship works in practice and which intermediate processes can be observed before the final outcome changes.

From a governance perspective, Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Resource-loop design and waste prevention is most credible when supported by technical standards and technical standards. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

For Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking green building management with resource efficiency. Risk identification and resilience engineering provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on sensor quality, legacy-system compatibility, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of operational continuity.

A recurring issue in research on Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Green Building Management may be associated with resource efficiency, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when legacy-system compatibility is weak. The mechanism examined here is sensing and condition monitoring, which connects the focal practice to changes in reliability.

Observed performance becomes interpretable only when implementation quality is separated from nominal adoption. In Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, green building management can influence resource efficiency through predictive analytics and maintenance planning. The pathway is conditional on maintenance capability and technical standards; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For engineering managers, asset owners, operations teams, and technical decision makers, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce energy efficiency.

From a governance perspective, Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Systems integration and interoperability is most credible when supported by capital constraints and legacy-system compatibility. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

5. Evidence Synthesis: Boundary Conditions

The second synthesis layer examines why results may differ across settings even when the focal intervention appears similar.

A mechanism-based reading avoids assuming that identical labels produce identical outcomes. In Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, green building management can influence resource efficiency through risk identification and resilience engineering. The pathway is conditional on technical standards and sensor quality; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Kraus et al., 2022 and Kraus et al., 2022 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For engineering managers, asset owners, operations teams, and technical decision makers, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce operational continuity.

For Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking green building management with resource efficiency. Sensing and condition monitoring provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on sensor quality, maintenance capability, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of reliability.

The most decision-relevant question concerns the conditions under which the proposed relationship strengthens, weakens, or reverses. In Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, green building management can influence resource efficiency through predictive analytics and maintenance planning. The pathway is conditional on legacy-system compatibility and operating environment; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For engineering managers, asset owners, operations teams, and technical decision makers, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce energy efficiency.

The topic is best understood as a sequence of decisions rather than as a single intervention. In Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, green building management can influence resource efficiency through systems integration and interoperability. The pathway is conditional on maintenance capability and sensor quality; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For engineering managers, asset owners, operations teams, and technical decision makers, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce lifecycle value.

A recurring issue in research on Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Green Building Management may be associated with resource efficiency, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when capital constraints is weak. The mechanism examined here is lifecycle costing and asset prioritization, which connects the focal practice to changes in resource productivity.

6. Integrated Discussion

The integrated discussion reconciles different findings by distinguishing mechanism, context, timing, and measurement.

The synthesis treats green building management as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of resource efficiency. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, sensing and condition monitoring changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while technical standards shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

From a governance perspective, Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Predictive analytics and maintenance planning is most credible when supported by sensor quality and capital constraints. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Systems integration and interoperability is most credible when supported by legacy-system compatibility and technical standards. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Lifecycle costing and asset prioritization is most credible when supported by maintenance capability and legacy-system compatibility. The article therefore

emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The synthesis treats green building management as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of resource efficiency. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, resource-loop design and waste prevention changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while capital constraints shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Tao et al., 2018 and Carvalho et al., 2019 and is especially relevant in commercial properties. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

7. Measurement and Decision Framework

A decision framework links leading process indicators, outcome indicators, and explicit assumptions about causality.

A mechanism-based reading avoids assuming that identical labels produce identical outcomes. In Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, green building management can influence resource efficiency through predictive analytics and maintenance planning. The pathway is conditional on technical standards and maintenance capability; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For engineering managers, asset owners, operations teams, and technical decision makers, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce energy efficiency.

A recurring issue in research on Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Green Building Management may be associated with resource efficiency, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when sensor quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is systems integration and interoperability, which connects the focal practice to changes in lifecycle value.

A recurring issue in research on Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Green Building Management may be associated with resource efficiency, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or

offset when legacy-system compatibility is weak. The mechanism examined here is lifecycle costing and asset prioritization, which connects the focal practice to changes in resource productivity.

The synthesis treats green building management as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of resource efficiency. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, resource-loop design and waste prevention changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while maintenance capability shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

A recurring issue in research on Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Green Building Management may be associated with resource efficiency, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when capital constraints is weak. The mechanism examined here is risk identification and resilience engineering, which connects the focal practice to changes in operational continuity. Sources such as Carvalho et al., 2019 and Kraus et al., 2022 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

8. Practical Implications and Research Agenda

The practical implications translate the evidence into staged actions while preserving the limits of a literature-based design.

The synthesis treats green building management as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of resource efficiency. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, systems integration and interoperability changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while technical

standards shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

For Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking green building management with resource efficiency. Lifecycle costing and asset prioritization provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on sensor quality, technical standards, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of resource productivity.

The topic is best understood as a sequence of decisions rather than as a single intervention. In Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, green building management

can influence resource efficiency through resource-loop design and waste prevention. The pathway is conditional on legacy-system compatibility and legacy-system compatibility; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. Evidence associated with Tao et al., 2018 and Kraus et al., 2022 supports treating implementation conditions as part of the explanation rather than as background noise. For engineering managers, asset owners, operations teams, and technical decision makers, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce safety.

A recurring issue in research on Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Green Building Management may be associated with resource efficiency, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when maintenance capability is weak. The mechanism examined here is risk identification and resilience engineering, which connects the focal practice to changes in operational continuity.

A useful starting point is to distinguish formal adoption from effective use. In Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, green building management can influence resource efficiency through sensing and condition monitoring. The pathway is conditional on capital constraints and technical standards; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For engineering managers, asset owners, operations teams, and technical decision makers, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce reliability.

9. Conclusion

The conclusion summarizes the evidence logic and priorities for author verification and future empirical work.

The synthesis treats green building management as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of resource efficiency. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, lifecycle costing and asset prioritization changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while technical standards shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

For Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking green building management with resource efficiency. Resource-loop design and waste prevention provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on sensor quality, sensor quality, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore

combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of safety.

For Green Building Management and Resource Efficiency in Commercial Properties, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking green building management with resource efficiency. Sensing and condition monitoring provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on maintenance capability, operating environment, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of reliability.

Historical source context and interpretation

Historical energy and built-environment context is drawn from Energy Efficiency 2023 and the 2022 Global Status Report for Buildings and Construction (International Energy Agency, 2023; United Nations Environment Programme, 2022). These reports frame resource use and efficiency concerns. Their sector-level discussion does not establish engineering performance, predictive accuracy or project-level causal effects for the systems proposed here.

References

- Tao, F., Qi, Q., Liu, A., & Kusiak, A. (2018). Data-driven smart manufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 48, 157-169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2018.01.006>
- Carvalho, T. P., Soares, F. A. A. M. N., Vita, R., Francisco, R. da P., Basto, J. P., & Alcala, S. G. S. (2019). A systematic literature review of machine learning methods applied to predictive maintenance. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 137, 106024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106024>
- Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63, 102466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466>
- International Energy Agency. (2023). Energy Efficiency 2023. <https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-efficiency-2023>
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2022). 2022 Global Status Report for Buildings and Construction: Towards a Zero-emission, Efficient and Resilient Buildings and Construction Sector. <https://www.unep.org/resources/publication/2022-global-status-report-buildings-and-construction>