Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings

Adang Haryaman (1) and Ricky Agusiady (2) (1) Universitas Logistik dan Bisnis Internasional | (2) Universitas Sangga Buana Bandung

adang@ulbi.ac.id ; ricky.agusiady@usbypkp.ac.id

Abstract

This integrative evidence review examines digital twin applications for asset management in commercial buildings by asking how digital twin applications is connected to digital twin applications and which conditions determine whether that connection becomes operationally meaningful. The article synthesizes peer-reviewed and institutional literature relevant to industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, emphasizing mechanisms, boundary conditions, and measurement choices rather than a universal average effect. The synthesis identifies complementary roles for lifecycle costing and asset prioritization, capital constraints, and governance or coordination routines. No survey, interview, experiment, proprietary dataset, or regression estimate is represented as having been collected for this conceptual review.

Keywords: digital, twin, applications, asset, management, commercial, buildings, engineering, integrative review

Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings addresses a contemporary question in industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments. The title implies a relationship between digital twin applications and digital twin applications, but the direction and magnitude of that relationship cannot be assumed from terminology alone. This review identifies mechanisms that plausibly connect the two, conditions that make those mechanisms stronger or weaker, and measurements needed to evaluate them without overstating evidence. Context, timing, implementation quality, and decision rights are therefore treated as analytical variables.

1. Introduction

The introduction defines the decision problem and explains why a conditional interpretation is preferable to a deterministic one.

From a governance perspective, Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Risk identification and resilience engineering is most credible when supported by capital constraints and sensor quality. The article therefore emphasizes

traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Sensing and condition monitoring is most credible when supported by operating environment and maintenance capability. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Predictive analytics and maintenance planning is most credible when supported by technical standards and operating environment. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

Observed performance becomes interpretable only when implementation quality is separated from nominal adoption. In Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings, digital twin applications can influence digital twin applications through systems integration and interoperability. The pathway is conditional on sensor quality and sensor quality; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For engineering managers, asset owners, operations teams, and technical decision makers, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce lifecycle value.

A recurring issue in research on Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Digital Twin Applications may be associated with digital twin applications, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when legacy-system compatibility is weak. The mechanism examined here is lifecycle costing and asset prioritization, which connects the focal practice to changes in resource productivity.

2. Conceptual and Literature Review

The literature review organizes evidence around mechanisms, complementary capabilities, and boundary conditions.

For Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking digital twin applications with digital twin applications. Sensing and condition monitoring provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on capital constraints, legacy-system compatibility, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of reliability.

For Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking digital twin applications with digital twin applications. Predictive analytics and maintenance planning provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on operating environment, capital constraints, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of energy efficiency.

For Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking digital twin applications with digital twin applications. Systems integration and interoperability provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on technical standards, technical standards, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of lifecycle value.

A recurring issue in research on Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Digital Twin Applications may be associated with digital twin applications, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when sensor quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is lifecycle costing and asset prioritization, which connects the focal practice to changes in resource productivity. Sources such as Kraus et al., 2022 and Tao et al., 2018 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, resource-loop design and waste prevention changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while legacy-system compatibility shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

3. Research Method

This manuscript is a conceptual narrative draft revised through a chronological source filter. Bibliography entries dated after 31 December 2023 and sentences explicitly invoking those years have been excluded. Original pre-cutoff references have been retained, with a limited historical source context added separately. This revision does not constitute a new systematic search, a meta-analysis, or a reproducible coding exercise.

The historical source cutoff is stated here so that the scope of the discussion is explicit.

The remaining discussion proposes mechanisms and research questions. It does not supply new observations, estimated coefficients, or verified causal effects. Earlier reference entries retained from the

source draft are retained as supplied and should be assessed against the original publications when interpreting specific claims. Sources added during this revision support only the historical context described in the dedicated source-context section.

4. Evidence Synthesis: Mechanisms

The first synthesis layer asks how the proposed relationship works in practice and which intermediate processes can be observed before the final outcome changes.

The topic is best understood as a sequence of decisions rather than as a single intervention. In Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings, digital twin applications can influence digital twin applications through systems integration and interoperability. The pathway is conditional on capital constraints and capital constraints; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For engineering managers, asset owners, operations teams, and technical decision makers, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce lifecycle value.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, lifecycle costing and asset prioritization changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while operating environment shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, resource-loop design and waste prevention changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while technical standards shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

A recurring issue in research on Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Digital Twin Applications may be associated with digital twin applications, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when sensor quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is risk identification and resilience engineering, which connects the focal practice to changes in operational continuity.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, sensing and condition monitoring changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while

legacy-system compatibility shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

5. Evidence Synthesis: Boundary Conditions

The second synthesis layer examines why results may differ across settings even when the focal intervention appears similar.

A recurring issue in research on Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Digital Twin Applications may be associated with digital twin applications, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when capital constraints is weak. The mechanism examined here is lifecycle costing and asset prioritization, which connects the focal practice to changes in resource productivity. Sources such as Kraus et al., 2022 and Kraus et al., 2022 are therefore interpreted for mechanism and boundary conditions rather than combined into a single pooled effect.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, resource-loop design and waste prevention changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while operating environment shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, risk identification and resilience engineering changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while technical standards shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, sensing and condition monitoring changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while sensor quality shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, predictive analytics and maintenance planning changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while legacy-system compatibility shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The

practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

6. Integrated Discussion

The integrated discussion reconciles different findings by distinguishing mechanism, context, timing, and measurement.

From a governance perspective, Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Resource-loop design and waste prevention is most credible when supported by capital constraints and technical standards. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

A recurring issue in research on Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Digital Twin Applications may be associated with digital twin applications, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when operating environment is weak. The mechanism examined here is risk identification and resilience engineering, which connects the focal practice to changes in operational continuity.

A recurring issue in research on Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Digital Twin Applications may be associated with digital twin applications, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when technical standards is weak. The mechanism examined here is sensing and condition monitoring, which connects the focal practice to changes in reliability.

From a governance perspective, Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Predictive analytics and maintenance planning is most credible when supported by sensor quality and technical standards. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

From a governance perspective, Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Systems integration and interoperability is most credible when

supported by legacy-system compatibility and legacy-system compatibility. The reviewed literature (Tao et al., 2018; Carvalho et al., 2019) indicates that these complements often explain why apparently similar

settings report different outcomes. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

7. Measurement and Decision Framework

A decision framework links leading process indicators, outcome indicators, and explicit assumptions about causality.

A recurring issue in research on Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Digital Twin Applications may be associated with digital twin applications, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when capital constraints is weak. The mechanism examined here is risk identification and resilience engineering, which connects the focal practice to changes in operational continuity.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, sensing and condition monitoring changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while operating environment shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

From a governance perspective, Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Predictive analytics and maintenance planning is most credible when supported by technical standards and operating environment. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

A useful starting point is to distinguish formal adoption from effective use. In Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings, digital twin applications can influence digital twin applications through systems integration and interoperability. The pathway is conditional on sensor quality and sensor quality; these factors determine whether information becomes an operational response or remains a formal feature with limited practical effect. For engineering managers, asset owners, operations teams, and technical decision makers, measurement should capture both the intervention and the intermediate process expected to produce lifecycle value.

For Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking digital twin applications with digital twin applications. Lifecycle costing and asset prioritization provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on legacy-system compatibility, maintenance capability, and the time available for adjustment. The literature represented by

Carvalho et al., 2019 and Kraus et al., 2022 suggests that average associations can conceal important differences in starting conditions and execution quality. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of resource productivity.

8. Practical Implications and Research Agenda

The practical implications translate the evidence into staged actions while preserving the limits of a literature-based design.

From a governance perspective, Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings requires clarity about who owns the intervention, who validates the information it generates, and who can act when performance deviates from expectation. Sensing and condition monitoring is most credible when supported by capital constraints and legacy-system compatibility. The article therefore emphasizes traceable assumptions, explicit decision rights, and periodic review.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, predictive analytics and maintenance planning changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while operating environment shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, systems integration and interoperability changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while technical standards shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. This interpretation is consistent with Tao et al., 2018 and Kraus et al., 2022 and is especially relevant for asset management in commercial buildings. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

A recurring issue in research on Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Digital Twin Applications may be associated with digital twin applications, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when sensor quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is lifecycle costing and asset prioritization, which connects the focal practice to changes in resource productivity.

A recurring issue in research on Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Digital Twin Applications may be associated with digital twin applications, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset

when legacy-system compatibility is weak. The mechanism examined here is resource-loop design and waste prevention, which connects the focal practice to changes in safety.

9. Conclusion

The conclusion summarizes the evidence logic and priorities for author verification and future empirical work.

The synthesis treats digital twin applications as an input into a wider system, not as an automatic cause of digital twin applications. In industrial assets, production systems, infrastructure, and built environments, predictive analytics and maintenance planning changes what actors can observe, prioritize, or correct, while capital constraints shapes how consistently that change is translated into practice. The practical implication is to specify the expected sequence of change before choosing metrics.

For Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings, the relevant unit of analysis is the decision process linking digital twin applications with digital twin applications. Systems integration and interoperability provides one plausible channel, but its effect depends on operating environment, operating environment, and the time available for adjustment. A stronger assessment therefore combines a leading indicator of process integrity with a lagging indicator of lifecycle value.

A recurring issue in research on Digital Twin Applications for Asset Management in Commercial Buildings is the danger of reading correlation as a universal performance rule. Digital Twin Applications may be associated with digital twin applications, yet the relationship can be delayed, mediated, or offset when sensor quality is weak. The mechanism examined here is resource-loop design and waste prevention, which connects the focal practice to changes in safety.

Historical source context and interpretation

Historical energy and built-environment context is drawn from Energy Efficiency 2023 and the 2022 Global Status Report for Buildings and Construction (International Energy Agency, 2023; United Nations Environment Programme, 2022). These reports frame resource use and efficiency concerns. Their sector-level discussion does not establish engineering performance, predictive accuracy or project-level causal effects for the systems proposed here.

References

Tao, F., Qi, Q., Liu, A., & Kusiak, A. (2018). Data-driven smart manufacturing. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 48, 157-169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2018.01.006

Carvalho, T. P., Soares, F. A. A. M. N., Vita, R., Francisco, R. da P., Basto, J. P., & Alcala, S. G. S. (2019). A systematic literature review of machine learning methods applied to predictive maintenance. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 137, 106024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106024

Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. International Journal of Information Management, 63, 102466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466

International Energy Agency. (2023). Energy Efficiency 2023. https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-efficiency-2023

United Nations Environment Programme. (2022). 2022 Global Status Report for Buildings and Construction: Towards a Zero-emission, Efficient and Resilient Buildings and Construction Sector. https://www.unep.org/resources/publication/2022-global-status-report-buildings-and-construction