

SUSTAINABLE MARKETING PRACTICES AND COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE AMONG SMALL ENTERPRISES

Vip Paramarta, Nazhira Nindya Padma Hanuun

Affiliation(s): Universitas Sangga Buana YPKP; Yayasan Kreatif Indonesia Emas

Corresponding email(s): Vip@usbypkp.ac.id; nazhiranindya@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. **Aim:** This topic-specific assessment explains how the relationship between the focal practices and competitive advantage operates in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. **Method:** A conceptual narrative synthesis draws on selected scholarly and institutional publications. The discussion is organized around mechanisms, boundary conditions, and practical implications. **Results:** The synthesis identifies six linked mechanisms: credible sustainability claims, resource-efficient value propositions, customer participation, local stakeholder relationships, cost and differentiation effects, learning and reputation accumulation. The analysis indicates that outcomes depend less on nominal adoption than on execution quality, governance, learning, and fit with local capacity. **Conclusion:** Decision makers should define the expected outcome, assign responsibility, establish a small set of auditable indicators, and revise the intervention when documented findings contradicts its assumptions. **Contribution:** The synthesis provides a conditional framework without claiming primary data that were not collected.

Keywords: sustainable marketing; competitive advantage; small enterprises; green claims; stakeholder trust; value creation

Introduction

Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. This issue is particularly important for small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. The central managerial question is not whether the focal practice is fashionable or technically available, but whether it changes information, incentives, coordination, and action in ways that improve competitive advantage.

The synthesis asks three questions. First, which mechanisms plausibly connect the focal practice with competitive advantage? Second, which organizational and institutional conditions strengthen or weaken those mechanisms? Third, which execution and measurement choices allow organizational leaders to distinguish genuine capability from surface-level adoption?

Literature Review

Research relevant to sustainable marketing practices and competitive advantage among small enterprises crosses strategy, organization, technology, finance, and public-policy perspectives. Vial (2019) describes digital transformation as a process that changes value creation and organizational arrangements, while Teece (2007) emphasizes the capacity to sense change, seize opportunities, and reconfigure resources. Read together, these perspectives shift attention from possession of an input to the capability to use it reliably.

An input may be a platform, infrastructure asset, financial instrument, reporting rule, training program, or relationship. A capability is the repeated ability to combine that input with judgment and coordinated action. In small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships, the distinction is decisive because adoption is observable immediately, while competitive advantage often emerges later and may be influenced by several conditions at once.

For small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships, efficiency and resilience create a specific tension. Credible sustainability claims may reduce routine friction, yet resource-efficient value propositions determines whether that improvement survives disruption. An intervention that raises average speed while concentrating dependence on one system, person, supplier, or channel can weaken competitive advantage. The literature must therefore be read against both normal operations and periods of stress.

Information credibility is especially relevant to customer participation and local stakeholder relationships. Participants will not alter behavior merely because information is available; they assess its timeliness, intelligibility, source, and practical relevance. In the present setting, trust is produced through consistent execution and fair exception handling. This helps explain why nominal adoption can coexist with weak competitive advantage.

The resulting model places credible sustainability claims, customer participation, and cost and differentiation effects on the governance side, while resource-efficient value propositions, local stakeholder relationships, and learning and reputation accumulation describe execution and learning. Their interaction is expected to shape competitive advantage; no single mechanism is assumed to have the same effect across every organization, region, or user group.

Research Method

This article uses a purposive conceptual narrative synthesis of the selected publications cited in the references. It develops relationships through analytical interpretation rather than an exhaustive database search or pooled statistical estimation. No systematic screening count, interview sample, administrative dataset, or newly observed causal effect is reported. The framework and proposed indicators are intended for subsequent testing in the specified setting.

The analysis separates the focal outcome, the mechanisms that may connect actions to that outcome, the conditions that could weaken those mechanisms, and the observations needed to test the resulting propositions. These categories organize the discussion; they are not a report of independently validated coding or a quantitative evidence synthesis. Illustrative decision rules and measurement proposals should therefore be read as conceptual contributions.

Analytical theme	Central question	Operational evidence
Credible sustainability claims	How does credible sustainability claims influence competitive advantage?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to credible sustainability claims.
Resource-efficient value propositions	How does resource-efficient value propositions influence competitive advantage?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to resource-efficient value propositions.
Customer participation	How does customer participation influence competitive advantage?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to customer participation.
Local stakeholder relationships	How does local stakeholder relationships influence competitive advantage?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to local stakeholder relationships.
Cost and differentiation effects	How does cost and differentiation effects influence competitive advantage?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to cost and differentiation effects.
Learning and reputation accumulation	How does learning and reputation accumulation influence competitive advantage?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to learning and reputation accumulation.

The principal limitation is that the synthesis need not use original interviews, surveys, experiments, or administrative microdata. Its results are therefore propositions supported by synthesis instead of merely estimates of effect size. The named authors should verify the interpretation, references, affiliations, and practical relevance before submission.

Results and Discussion

Credible sustainability claims

The value of credible sustainability claims should be assessed at the point where routine work affects competitive advantage. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, credible sustainability claims needs to be examined together with resource-efficient value propositions, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for credible sustainability claims with a lagging measure of competitive advantage.

The mechanism behind credible sustainability claims concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. The

mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, credible sustainability claims must be examined together with customer participation, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. OECD (2023) and World Bank (2022) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

The analytical issue is whether credible sustainability claims changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice may legitimately be expanded. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, credible sustainability claims needs to be examined together with local stakeholder relationships, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for credible sustainability claims with a lagging measure of competitive advantage.

Resource-efficient value propositions

The mechanism behind resource-efficient value propositions concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Operational reliability relies on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, resource-efficient value propositions needs to be examined together with customer participation, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

The analytical issue is whether resource-efficient value propositions changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Within small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, resource-efficient value propositions needs to be examined together with local stakeholder relationships, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible execution path.

The value of resource-efficient value propositions should be assessed at the point where routine work affects competitive advantage. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, resource-efficient value propositions needs to be examined together with cost and differentiation effects, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental

and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

Discussion by Analytical Theme

Customer participation

The analytical issue is whether customer participation changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, customer participation needs to be examined together with local stakeholder relationships, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. Managers should consequently compare activity records with documented findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for competitive advantage.

The value of customer participation should be assessed at the point where routine work affects competitive advantage. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, customer participation needs to be examined together with cost and differentiation effects, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

The mechanism behind customer participation concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice may legitimately be expanded. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, customer participation needs to be examined together with learning and reputation accumulation, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. Managers should consequently compare activity records with documented findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for competitive advantage.

Local stakeholder relationships

The value of local stakeholder relationships should be assessed at the point where routine work affects competitive advantage. Operational reliability relies on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, local stakeholder relationships needs to be examined together with cost and differentiation effects, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for local stakeholder relationships with a lagging measure of competitive advantage.

The mechanism behind local stakeholder relationships concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Within small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, local stakeholder relationships must be examined together with learning and reputation accumulation, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. Teece (2007) and Vial (2019) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

The analytical issue is whether local stakeholder relationships changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, local stakeholder relationships needs to be examined together with credible sustainability claims, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for local stakeholder relationships with a lagging measure of competitive advantage.

Discussion by Analytical Theme

Cost and differentiation effects

The mechanism behind cost and differentiation effects concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, cost and differentiation effects needs to be examined together with learning and reputation accumulation, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

The analytical issue is whether cost and differentiation effects changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, cost and differentiation effects needs to be examined together with credible sustainability claims, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible execution path.

The value of cost and differentiation effects should be assessed at the point where routine work affects competitive advantage. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice may legitimately be expanded. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer

proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, cost and differentiation effects needs to be examined together with resource-efficient value propositions, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

Learning and reputation accumulation

The analytical issue is whether learning and reputation accumulation changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Operational reliability relies on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, learning and reputation accumulation needs to be examined together with credible sustainability claims, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. Managers should consequently compare activity records with documented findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for competitive advantage.

The value of learning and reputation accumulation should be assessed at the point where routine work affects competitive advantage. Within small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, learning and reputation accumulation needs to be examined together with resource-efficient value propositions, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

The mechanism behind learning and reputation accumulation concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. For this topic, learning and reputation accumulation needs to be examined together with customer participation, because both shape competitive advantage in small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships. Managers should consequently compare activity records with documented findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for competitive advantage.

Integrated Mechanism Framework

The six mechanisms form a connected operating system for small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships, not a checklist. Weakness in credible sustainability claims can restrict resource-efficient value propositions, while poor cost and differentiation effects may prevent lessons from reaching learning and reputation accumulation. For competitive advantage, the value of one mechanism therefore relies on whether neighboring routines supply credible information, authority, and feedback.

The first pathway connects information to judgment. Credible sustainability claims and resource-efficient value propositions improve visibility, but decision quality also requires interpretation and an agreed response. The second pathway connects organization to execution through customer participation and local stakeholder relationships. The third pathway connects accountability to adaptation through cost and differentiation effects and learning and reputation accumulation.

The explanatory scheme predicts that competitive advantage will be strongest when all three pathways reach a minimum viable level. Excellence in a single component cannot fully compensate for basic weakness elsewhere. This complementarity explains why service providers may invest substantially yet observe limited results: resources are allocated to the visible technology or program while less visible routines, responsibilities, and feedback processes remain unchanged.

Sequence matters for sustainable marketing practices and competitive advantage among small enterprises. A credible execution starts with the concrete problem: Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. It then documents the current process, assigns ownership for credible sustainability claims, pilots changes affecting resource-efficient value propositions, and records exceptions before wider adoption. Documented findings from the pilot should determine whether expansion is justified.

Governance in this framework protects the purpose of improving competitive advantage. It specifies authority for customer participation, acceptable risk around local stakeholder relationships, documentation for cost and differentiation effects, and escalation when learning and reputation accumulation reveals failure. Proportionate controls make adaptation safer without turning every local decision into a centralized approval.

Managerial and Policy Implications

Decision makers should translate the objective of improving competitive advantage into a small measurement architecture. Leading indicators capture whether the mechanism is operating; lagging indicators capture whether the intended outcome follows. Both are needed because an early increase in activity may reflect execution effort instead of merely sustainable value.

Priority	Owner	Leading indicator	Review question
Credible sustainability claims	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for credible sustainability claims	Is credible sustainability claims changing decisions or only activity?

Priority	Owner	Leading indicator	Review question
Resource-efficient value propositions	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for resource-efficient value propositions	Is resource-efficient value propositions changing decisions or only activity?
Customer participation	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for customer participation	Is customer participation changing decisions or only activity?
Local stakeholder relationships	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for local stakeholder relationships	Is local stakeholder relationships changing decisions or only activity?
Cost and differentiation effects	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for cost and differentiation effects	Is cost and differentiation effects changing decisions or only activity?
Learning and reputation accumulation	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for learning and reputation accumulation	Is learning and reputation accumulation changing decisions or only activity?

For managers, the immediate task is to establish a baseline, choose one bounded execution unit, and review documented findings at a frequency matched to the decision cycle. For policy makers and industry bodies, the priority is to reduce avoidable coordination costs, improve access to reliable guidance, and support capability development instead of merely measuring success only by enrollment, installation, or nominal adoption.

Future research on sustainable marketing practices and competitive advantage among small enterprises should define populations and measures explicitly. Longitudinal observation is needed to determine whether learning and reputation accumulation produces durable learning or only a temporary response. Mixed methods could connect changes in competitive advantage to the routines through which credible sustainability claims and local stakeholder relationships are enacted.

Limitations and Future Research

Three limitations qualify the synthesis. Documented findings concerning small enterprises integrating environmental and social considerations into products, communication, channels, and customer relationships is heterogeneous and cannot establish one effect size for competitive advantage. Institutional sources clarify context but cannot replace unit-level causal documented findings. In addition, the selected mechanisms may omit practices visible only through fieldwork, particularly where customer participation or cost and differentiation effects is informal. The propositions should consequently guide inquiry instead of merely be treated as universal estimates.

Future research should operationalize competitive advantage with measures that distinguish activity, capability, and outcome. Comparative studies can examine whether organization size, ownership, regulatory exposure, location, or digital maturity changes the strength of the proposed relationships. Longitudinal observation is needed to separate temporary execution disruption from durable improvement.

Conclusion

This topic-specific assessment examined sustainable marketing practices and competitive advantage among small enterprises through a conceptual narrative synthesis. The synthesis shows that competitive advantage need not arise automatically from formal adoption or expenditure. It relies on the interaction of credible sustainability claims, resource-efficient value propositions, customer participation, local stakeholder relationships, cost and differentiation effects, learning and reputation accumulation.

The central conclusion is conditional. Sustainability claims create value only when they correspond to verifiable operating practices and a customer proposition that small enterprises can deliver consistently. Service providers should consequently define the decision problem, build proportionate governance, test execution in stages, and combine leading indicators with outcome documented findings. This approach treats uncertainty as something to manage through learning instead of merely conceal through overly precise claims.

The explanatory scheme contributes a practical bridge between broad research findings and local decisions. It need not replace author judgment, peer review, or empirical validation. Its value lies in making mechanisms and assumptions explicit so that managers, policy makers, and researchers can examine where an intervention is likely to work, where it may fail, and what documented findings should trigger revision.

References

Leonidou, C. N., Katsikeas, C. S., & Morgan, N. A. (2013). "Greening" the marketing mix: Do firms do it and does it pay off? *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 41(2), 151-170.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-012-0317-2>

OECD. (2023). *OECD SME and Entrepreneurship Outlook 2023*. OECD Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.1787/342b8564-en>

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1-2), 62-77.

Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319-1350.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640>

Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 118-144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003>

World Bank. (2022). *World Development Report 2022: Finance for an Equitable Recovery*. World Bank.
<https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1730-4>