

INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT AND INCLUSIVE REGIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN INDONESIA

Adang Haryaman, Nyoman Dwika Ayu Amrita

Affiliation(s): Universitas Logistik dan Bisnis Internasional; Universitas Ngurah Rai

Corresponding email(s): adang@ulbi.ac.id; dwika.ayu@unr.ac.id

Abstract

Background: Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. **Aim:** This focused review explains how the relationship between the focal practices and inclusive regional economic development operates in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. **Method:** A conceptual narrative synthesis draws on selected scholarly and institutional publications. The source record is coded by mechanism, boundary condition, organizational rollout risk, and practical implication. **Results:** The synthesis identifies six linked mechanisms: market access and travel-time reduction, service reliability, local supplier participation, human-capital complementarity, spatial distribution of benefits, maintenance and institutional quality. The investigation indicates that outcomes depend less on nominal adoption than on organizational rollout quality, governance, learning, and fit with local capacity. **Conclusion:** Decision makers should define the expected outcome, assign responsibility, establish a small set of auditable indicators, and revise the intervention when source record contradicts its assumptions. **Contribution:** The study provides a conditional framework without claiming primary data that were not collected.

Keywords: infrastructure investment; inclusive development; regional economy; connectivity; public investment; Indonesia

Introduction

Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. This issue is particularly important for Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. The central managerial question is not whether the focal practice is fashionable or technically available, but whether it changes information, incentives, coordination, and action in ways that improve inclusive regional economic development.

The study asks three questions. First, which mechanisms plausibly connect the focal practice with inclusive regional economic development? Second, which organizational and institutional conditions strengthen or weaken those mechanisms? Third, which organizational rollout and measurement choices allow practitioners to distinguish genuine capability from surface-level adoption?

Literature Review

Research relevant to infrastructure investment and inclusive regional economic development in Indonesia crosses strategy, organization, technology, finance, and public-policy perspectives. Vial (2019) describes digital transformation as a process that changes value creation and organizational arrangements, while Teece (2007) emphasizes the capacity to sense change, seize opportunities, and reconfigure resources. Read together, these perspectives shift attention from possession of an input to the capability to use it reliably.

An input may be a platform, infrastructure asset, financial instrument, reporting rule, training program, or relationship. A capability is the repeated ability to combine that input with judgment and coordinated action. In Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure, the distinction is decisive because adoption is observable immediately, while inclusive regional economic development often emerges later and may be influenced by several conditions at once.

For Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure, efficiency and resilience create a specific tension. Market access and travel-time reduction may reduce routine friction, yet service reliability determines whether that improvement survives disruption. An intervention that raises average speed while concentrating dependence on one system, person, supplier, or channel can weaken inclusive regional economic development. The literature must therefore be read against both normal operations and periods of stress.

Information credibility is especially relevant to local supplier participation and human-capital complementarity. Participants will not alter behavior merely because information is available; they assess its timeliness, intelligibility, source, and practical relevance. In the present setting, trust is produced through consistent execution and fair exception handling. This helps explain why nominal adoption can coexist with weak inclusive regional economic development.

The resulting model places market access and travel-time reduction, local supplier participation, and spatial distribution of benefits on the governance side, while service reliability, human-capital complementarity, and maintenance and institutional quality describe execution and learning. Their interaction is expected to shape inclusive regional economic development; no single mechanism is assumed to have the same effect across every organization, region, or user group.

Research Method

This article uses a purposive conceptual narrative synthesis of the selected publications cited in the references. It develops relationships through analytical interpretation rather than an exhaustive database search or pooled statistical estimation. No systematic screening count, interview sample, administrative dataset, or newly observed causal effect is reported. The framework and proposed indicators are intended for subsequent testing in the specified setting.

The analysis separates the focal outcome, the mechanisms that may connect actions to that outcome, the conditions that could weaken those mechanisms, and the observations needed to test the resulting propositions. These categories organize the discussion; they are not a report of independently validated coding or a quantitative evidence synthesis. Illustrative decision rules and measurement proposals should therefore be read as conceptual contributions.

Analytical theme	Central question	Operational evidence
Market access and travel-time reduction	How does market access and travel-time reduction influence inclusive regional economic development?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to market access and travel-time reduction.
Service reliability	How does service reliability influence inclusive regional economic development?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to service reliability.
Local supplier participation	How does local supplier participation influence inclusive regional economic development?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to local supplier participation.
Human-capital complementarity	How does human-capital complementarity influence inclusive regional economic development?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to human-capital complementarity.
Spatial distribution of benefits	How does spatial distribution of benefits influence inclusive regional economic development?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to spatial distribution of benefits.
Maintenance and institutional quality	How does maintenance and institutional quality influence inclusive regional economic development?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to maintenance and institutional quality.

The principal limitation is that the study does not necessarily use original interviews, surveys, experiments, or administrative microdata. Its results are therefore propositions supported by synthesis instead of estimates of effect size. The named authors should verify the interpretation, references, affiliations, and practical relevance before submission.

Results and Discussion

Market access and travel-time reduction

The analytical issue is whether market access and travel-time reduction changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Within Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, market access and travel-time reduction has to be examined together with service reliability, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. Managers consequently need to compare activity records with source record of reliability, user response, and consequences for inclusive regional economic development.

The value of market access and travel-time reduction should be assessed at the point where routine work affects inclusive regional economic development. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, market access and travel-time reduction has to be examined together with local supplier participation, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

The mechanism behind market access and travel-time reduction concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, market access and travel-time reduction has to be examined together with human-capital complementarity, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. Managers consequently need to compare activity records with source record of reliability, user response, and consequences for inclusive regional economic development.

Service reliability

The value of service reliability should be assessed at the point where routine work affects inclusive regional economic development. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, service reliability has to be examined together with local supplier participation, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for service reliability with a lagging measure of inclusive regional economic development.

The mechanism behind service reliability concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice can be expanded. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, service reliability must be examined together with human-capital complementarity, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. Kraus et al. (2022) and Teece (2007) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

The analytical issue is whether service reliability changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Operational reliability turns on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, service reliability has to be examined together with spatial distribution of benefits, because both

shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for service reliability with a lagging measure of inclusive regional economic development.

Discussion by Analytical Theme

Local supplier participation

The mechanism behind local supplier participation concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Within Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, local supplier participation has to be examined together with human-capital complementarity, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

The analytical issue is whether local supplier participation changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, local supplier participation has to be examined together with spatial distribution of benefits, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible organizational rollout path.

The value of local supplier participation should be assessed at the point where routine work affects inclusive regional economic development. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, local supplier participation has to be examined together with maintenance and institutional quality, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

Human-capital complementarity

The analytical issue is whether human-capital complementarity changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, human-capital complementarity has to be examined together with spatial distribution of benefits, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. Managers consequently need to compare activity records with source record of reliability, user response, and consequences for inclusive regional economic development.

The value of human-capital complementarity should be assessed at the point where routine work affects inclusive regional economic development. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice may be expanded. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, human-capital complementarity has to be examined together with maintenance and institutional quality, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

The mechanism behind human-capital complementarity concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Operational reliability turns on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, human-capital complementarity has to be examined together with market access and travel-time reduction, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. Managers consequently need to compare activity records with source record of reliability, user response, and consequences for inclusive regional economic development.

Discussion by Analytical Theme

Spatial distribution of benefits

The value of spatial distribution of benefits should be assessed at the point where routine work affects inclusive regional economic development. Within Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, spatial distribution of benefits has to be examined together with maintenance and institutional quality, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for spatial distribution of benefits with a lagging measure of inclusive regional economic development.

The mechanism behind spatial distribution of benefits concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, spatial distribution of benefits must be examined together with market access and travel-time reduction, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. OECD (2023) and World Bank (2022) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

The analytical issue is whether spatial distribution of benefits changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, spatial distribution of benefits has to be examined together with service reliability, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for spatial distribution of benefits with a lagging measure of inclusive regional economic development.

Maintenance and institutional quality

The mechanism behind maintenance and institutional quality concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, maintenance and institutional quality has to be examined together with market access and travel-time reduction, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

The analytical issue is whether maintenance and institutional quality changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice may be expanded. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. For this topic, maintenance and institutional quality has to be examined together with service reliability, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible organizational rollout path.

The value of maintenance and institutional quality should be assessed at the point where routine work affects inclusive regional economic development. Operational reliability turns on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are

neglected. For this topic, maintenance and institutional quality has to be examined together with local supplier participation, because both shape inclusive regional economic development in Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

Integrated Mechanism Framework

The six mechanisms form a connected operating system for Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure, not a checklist. Weakness in market access and travel-time reduction can restrict service reliability, while poor spatial distribution of benefits may prevent lessons from reaching maintenance and institutional quality. For inclusive regional economic development, the value of one mechanism therefore turns on whether neighboring routines supply credible information, authority, and feedback.

The first pathway connects information to judgment. Market access and travel-time reduction and service reliability improve visibility, but decision quality also requires interpretation and an agreed response. The second pathway connects organization to execution through local supplier participation and human-capital complementarity. The third pathway connects accountability to adaptation through spatial distribution of benefits and maintenance and institutional quality.

The interpretive model predicts that inclusive regional economic development will be strongest when all three pathways reach a minimum viable level. Excellence in a single component cannot fully compensate for basic weakness elsewhere. This complementarity explains why organizational units may invest substantially yet observe limited results: resources are allocated to the visible technology or program while less visible routines, responsibilities, and feedback processes remain unchanged.

Sequence matters for infrastructure investment and inclusive regional economic development in Indonesia. A credible organizational rollout starts with the concrete problem: Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. It then documents the current process, assigns ownership for market access and travel-time reduction, pilots changes affecting service reliability, and records exceptions before wider adoption. The source record from the pilot should determine whether expansion is justified.

Governance in this framework protects the purpose of improving inclusive regional economic development. It specifies authority for local supplier participation, acceptable risk around human-capital complementarity, documentation for spatial distribution of benefits, and escalation when maintenance and institutional quality reveals failure. Proportionate controls make adaptation safer without turning every local decision into a centralized approval.

Managerial and Policy Implications

Decision makers should translate the objective of improving inclusive regional economic development into a small measurement architecture. Leading indicators capture whether the mechanism is operating; lagging indicators capture whether the intended outcome follows. Both are needed because an early increase in activity may reflect organizational rollout effort instead of sustainable value.

Priority	Owner	Leading indicator	Review question
Market access and travel-time reduction	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for market access and travel-time reduction	Is market access and travel-time reduction changing decisions or only activity?
Service reliability	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for service reliability	Is service reliability changing decisions or only activity?
Local supplier participation	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for local supplier participation	Is local supplier participation changing decisions or only activity?
Human-capital complementarity	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for human-capital complementarity	Is human-capital complementarity changing decisions or only activity?
Spatial distribution of benefits	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for spatial distribution of benefits	Is spatial distribution of benefits changing decisions or only activity?
Maintenance and institutional quality	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for maintenance and institutional quality	Is maintenance and institutional quality changing decisions or only activity?

For managers, the immediate task is to establish a baseline, choose one bounded organizational rollout unit, and review source record at a frequency matched to the decision cycle. For policy makers and industry bodies, the priority is to reduce avoidable coordination costs, improve access to reliable guidance, and support capability development instead of measuring success only by enrollment, installation, or nominal adoption.

Future research on infrastructure investment and inclusive regional economic development in Indonesia should define populations and measures explicitly. Longitudinal observation is needed to determine whether maintenance and institutional quality produces durable learning or only a temporary response. Mixed methods could connect changes in inclusive regional economic development to the routines through which market access and travel-time reduction and human-capital complementarity are enacted.

Limitations and Future Research

Three limitations qualify the synthesis. The source record concerning Indonesian regions investing in transport, digital connectivity, energy, water, and public-service infrastructure is heterogeneous and cannot establish one effect size for inclusive regional economic development. Institutional sources clarify context but cannot replace unit-level causal source record. In addition, the selected mechanisms may omit practices visible only through fieldwork, particularly where local supplier participation or spatial distribution of benefits is informal. The propositions consequently need to guide inquiry instead of be treated as universal estimates.

Future research should operationalize inclusive regional economic development with measures that distinguish activity, capability, and outcome. Comparative studies can examine whether organization size, ownership, regulatory exposure, location, or digital maturity changes the strength of the proposed relationships. Longitudinal observation is needed to separate temporary organizational rollout disruption from durable improvement.

Conclusion

This focused review examined infrastructure investment and inclusive regional economic development in Indonesia through a conceptual narrative synthesis. The synthesis shows that inclusive regional economic development does not necessarily arise automatically from formal adoption or expenditure. It turns on the interaction of market access and travel-time reduction, service reliability, local supplier participation, human-capital complementarity, spatial distribution of benefits, maintenance and institutional quality.

The central conclusion is conditional. Infrastructure can improve connectivity and productivity while distributing gains unevenly when access, affordability, local capabilities, displacement, and maintenance are neglected. Organizational units consequently need to define the decision problem, build proportionate governance, test organizational rollout in stages, and combine leading indicators with outcome source record. This approach treats uncertainty as something to manage through learning instead of conceal through overly precise claims.

The interpretive model contributes a practical bridge between broad research findings and local decisions. It does not necessarily replace author judgment, peer review, or empirical validation. Its value lies in making mechanisms and assumptions explicit so that managers, policy makers, and researchers can examine where an intervention is likely to work, where it may fail, and what source record should trigger revision.

References

- Asian Development Bank. (2017). Meeting Asia's Infrastructure Needs. Asian Development Bank. <https://www.adb.org/news/asia-infrastructure-needs-exceed-17-trillion-year-double-previous-estimates>
- Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63, 102466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466>
- OECD. (2023). OECD SME and Entrepreneurship Outlook 2023. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/342b8564-en>
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319-1350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640>
- United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. United Nations.
- Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 118-144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003>
- World Bank. (2020). Indonesia Public Expenditure Review: Spending for Better Results. World Bank. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/indonesia/publication/indonesia-public-expenditure-review>