

# DIGITAL CAPABILITY BUILDING AND BUSINESS CONTINUITY AMONG COMMUNITY-BASED ENTERPRISES

Nida Garnida Fitrianti, Arif Budi Raharja

Affiliation(s): Yayasan Kreatif Indonesia Emas; Universitas Mathla'ul Anwar, Banten

Corresponding email(s): NidaFitrianti@gmail.com; budiraharja1970@gmail.com

## Abstract

**Background:** Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. **Aim:** The present synthesis explains how the relationship between the focal practices and business continuity under operational disruption operates in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. **Method:** A conceptual narrative synthesis draws on selected scholarly and institutional publications. The discussion is organized around mechanisms, boundary conditions, and practical implications. **Results:** The synthesis identifies six linked mechanisms: task-specific digital skills, redundant sales and payment channels, basic data protection, peer troubleshooting networks, supplier communication routines, continuity learning after disruption. The inquiry indicates that outcomes depend less on nominal adoption than on institutionalization quality, governance, learning, and fit with local capacity. **Conclusion:** Decision makers should define the expected outcome, assign responsibility, establish a small set of auditable indicators, and revise the intervention when source findings contradicts its assumptions. **Contribution:** The inquiry provides a conditional framework without claiming primary data that were not collected.

**Keywords:** digital capability; business continuity; community enterprise; resilience; peer networks; Indonesia

## Introduction

Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. This issue is particularly important for community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. The central managerial question is not whether the focal practice is fashionable or technically available, but whether it changes information, incentives, coordination, and action in ways that improve business continuity under operational disruption.

The inquiry asks three questions. First, which mechanisms plausibly connect the focal practice with business continuity under operational disruption? Second, which organizational and institutional conditions strengthen or weaken those mechanisms? Third, which institutionalization and measurement choices allow responsible leaders to distinguish genuine capability from surface-level adoption?

## Literature Review

Research relevant to digital capability building and business continuity among community-based enterprises crosses strategy, organization, technology, finance, and public-policy perspectives. Vial (2019) describes digital transformation as a process that changes value creation and organizational arrangements, while Teece (2007) emphasizes the capacity to sense change, seize opportunities, and reconfigure resources. Read together, these perspectives shift attention from possession of an input to the capability to use it reliably.

An input may be a platform, infrastructure asset, financial instrument, reporting rule, training program, or relationship. A capability is the repeated ability to combine that input with judgment and coordinated action. In community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination, the distinction is decisive because adoption is observable immediately, while business continuity under operational disruption often emerges later and may be influenced by several conditions at once.

For community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination, efficiency and resilience create a specific tension. Task-specific digital skills may reduce routine friction, yet redundant sales and payment channels determines whether that improvement survives disruption. An intervention that raises average speed while concentrating dependence on one system, person, supplier, or channel can weaken business continuity under operational disruption. The literature must therefore be read against both normal operations and periods of stress.

Information credibility is especially relevant to basic data protection and peer troubleshooting networks. Participants will not alter behavior merely because information is available; they assess its timeliness, intelligibility, source, and practical relevance. In the present setting, trust is produced through consistent execution and fair exception handling. This helps explain why nominal adoption can coexist with weak business continuity under operational disruption.

The resulting model places task-specific digital skills, basic data protection, and supplier communication routines on the governance side, while redundant sales and payment channels, peer troubleshooting networks, and continuity learning after disruption describe execution and learning. Their interaction is expected to shape business continuity under operational disruption; no single mechanism is assumed to have the same effect across every organization, region, or user group.

## Research Method

This article uses a purposive conceptual narrative synthesis of the selected publications cited in the references. It develops relationships through analytical interpretation rather than an exhaustive database search or pooled statistical estimation. No systematic screening count, interview sample, administrative dataset, or newly observed causal effect is reported. The framework and proposed indicators are intended for subsequent testing in the specified setting.

The analysis separates the focal outcome, the mechanisms that may connect actions to that outcome, the conditions that could weaken those mechanisms, and the observations needed to test the resulting propositions. These categories organize the discussion; they are not a report of independently validated coding or a quantitative evidence synthesis. Illustrative decision rules and measurement proposals should therefore be read as conceptual contributions.

| Analytical theme                     | Central question                                                                                          | Operational evidence                                                                                                          |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Task-specific digital skills         | How does task-specific digital skills influence business continuity under operational disruption?         | Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to task-specific digital skills.         |
| Redundant sales and payment channels | How does redundant sales and payment channels influence business continuity under operational disruption? | Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to redundant sales and payment channels. |
| Basic data protection                | How does basic data protection influence business continuity under operational disruption?                | Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to basic data protection.                |
| Peer troubleshooting networks        | How does peer troubleshooting networks influence business continuity under operational disruption?        | Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to peer troubleshooting networks.        |
| Supplier communication routines      | How does supplier communication routines influence business continuity under operational disruption?      | Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to supplier communication routines.      |
| Continuity learning after disruption | How does continuity learning after disruption influence business continuity under operational disruption? | Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to continuity learning after disruption. |

The principal limitation is that the inquiry cannot by itself use original interviews, surveys, experiments, or administrative microdata. Its results are therefore propositions supported by synthesis and not estimates of effect size. The named authors should verify the interpretation, references, affiliations, and practical relevance before submission.

## Results and Discussion

### Task-specific digital skills

The mechanism behind task-specific digital skills concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice is capable of being expanded. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, task-specific digital skills is required to be examined together with redundant sales and payment channels, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

The analytical issue is whether task-specific digital skills changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Operational reliability rests on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local

coordination remain uneven. For this topic, task-specific digital skills is required to be examined together with basic data protection, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible institutionalization path.

The value of task-specific digital skills should be assessed at the point where routine work affects business continuity under operational disruption. Within community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, task-specific digital skills is required to be examined together with peer troubleshooting networks, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

### **Redundant sales and payment channels**

The analytical issue is whether redundant sales and payment channels changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, redundant sales and payment channels is required to be examined together with basic data protection, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. Managers are therefore expected to compare activity records with source findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for business continuity under operational disruption.

The value of redundant sales and payment channels should be assessed at the point where routine work affects business continuity under operational disruption. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, redundant sales and payment channels is required to be examined together with peer troubleshooting networks, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

The mechanism behind redundant sales and payment channels concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, redundant sales and payment channels is required to be examined together with supplier communication routines, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. Managers are therefore

expected to compare activity records with source findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for business continuity under operational disruption.

## **Discussion by Analytical Theme**

### **Basic data protection**

The value of basic data protection should be assessed at the point where routine work affects business continuity under operational disruption. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice is capable of being expanded. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, basic data protection is required to be examined together with peer troubleshooting networks, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for basic data protection with a lagging measure of business continuity under operational disruption.

The mechanism behind basic data protection concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Operational reliability depends on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Digital access does not by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, basic data protection must be examined together with supplier communication routines, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. Vial (2019) and OECD (2023) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

The analytical issue is whether basic data protection changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Within community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, basic data protection is required to be examined together with continuity learning after disruption, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for basic data protection with a lagging measure of business continuity under operational disruption.

### **Peer troubleshooting networks**

The mechanism behind peer troubleshooting networks concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, peer troubleshooting networks is required to be examined together with supplier communication routines, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

The analytical issue is whether peer troubleshooting networks changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, peer troubleshooting networks is required to be examined together with continuity learning after disruption, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible institutionalization path.

The value of peer troubleshooting networks should be assessed at the point where routine work affects business continuity under operational disruption. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, peer troubleshooting networks is required to be examined together with task-specific digital skills, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

## **Discussion by Analytical Theme**

### **Supplier communication routines**

The analytical issue is whether supplier communication routines changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice is capable of being expanded. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, supplier communication routines is required to be examined together with continuity learning after disruption, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. Managers are therefore expected to compare activity records with source findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for business continuity under operational disruption.

The value of supplier communication routines should be assessed at the point where routine work affects business continuity under operational disruption. Operational reliability rests on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, supplier communication routines is required to be examined together with task-specific digital skills, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

The mechanism behind supplier communication routines concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Within community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Digital access cannot by itself protect

continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, supplier communication routines is required to be examined together with redundant sales and payment channels, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. Managers are therefore expected to compare activity records with source findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for business continuity under operational disruption.

### **Continuity learning after disruption**

The value of continuity learning after disruption should be assessed at the point where routine work affects business continuity under operational disruption. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, continuity learning after disruption is required to be examined together with task-specific digital skills, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for continuity learning after disruption with a lagging measure of business continuity under operational disruption.

The mechanism behind continuity learning after disruption concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Digital access does not by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, continuity learning after disruption must be examined together with redundant sales and payment channels, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. Kraus et al. (2022) and Teece (2007) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

The analytical issue is whether continuity learning after disruption changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. For this topic, continuity learning after disruption is required to be examined together with basic data protection, because both shape business continuity under operational disruption in community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for continuity learning after disruption with a lagging measure of business continuity under operational disruption.

### **Integrated Mechanism Framework**

The six mechanisms form a connected operating system for community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination, not a checklist. Weakness in task-specific digital skills can restrict redundant sales and payment channels, while poor supplier communication routines may prevent lessons from reaching continuity learning after disruption. For business continuity under operational disruption, the value of one mechanism therefore rests on whether neighboring routines supply credible information, authority, and feedback.

The first pathway connects information to judgment. Task-specific digital skills and redundant sales and payment channels improve visibility, but decision quality also requires interpretation and an agreed response. The second pathway connects organization to execution through basic data protection and peer troubleshooting networks. The third pathway connects accountability to adaptation through supplier communication routines and continuity learning after disruption.

The operating model predicts that business continuity under operational disruption will be strongest when all three pathways reach a minimum viable level. Excellence in a single component cannot fully compensate for basic weakness elsewhere. This complementarity explains why work systems may invest substantially yet observe limited results: resources are allocated to the visible technology or program while less visible routines, responsibilities, and feedback processes remain unchanged.

Sequence matters for digital capability building and business continuity among community-based enterprises. A credible institutionalization starts with the concrete problem: Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. It then documents the current process, assigns ownership for task-specific digital skills, pilots changes affecting redundant sales and payment channels, and records exceptions before wider adoption. Source findings from the pilot should determine whether expansion is justified.

Governance in this framework protects the purpose of improving business continuity under operational disruption. It specifies authority for basic data protection, acceptable risk around peer troubleshooting networks, documentation for supplier communication routines, and escalation when continuity learning after disruption reveals failure. Proportionate controls make adaptation safer without turning every local decision into a centralized approval.

## Managerial and Policy Implications

Decision makers should translate the objective of improving business continuity under operational disruption into a small measurement architecture. Leading indicators capture whether the mechanism is operating; lagging indicators capture whether the intended outcome follows. Both are needed because an early increase in activity may reflect institutionalization effort and not sustainable value.

| Priority                             | Owner               | Leading indicator                                                          | Review question                                                              |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Task-specific digital skills         | Named process owner | Completion and exception evidence for task-specific digital skills         | Is task-specific digital skills changing decisions or only activity?         |
| Redundant sales and payment channels | Named process owner | Completion and exception evidence for redundant sales and payment channels | Is redundant sales and payment channels changing decisions or only activity? |
| Basic data protection                | Named process owner | Completion and exception evidence for basic data protection                | Is basic data protection changing decisions or only activity?                |
| Peer troubleshooting networks        | Named process owner | Completion and exception evidence for peer troubleshooting networks        | Is peer troubleshooting networks changing decisions or only activity?        |

| Priority                             | Owner               | Leading indicator                                                          | Review question                                                              |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Supplier communication routines      | Named process owner | Completion and exception evidence for supplier communication routines      | Is supplier communication routines changing decisions or only activity?      |
| Continuity learning after disruption | Named process owner | Completion and exception evidence for continuity learning after disruption | Is continuity learning after disruption changing decisions or only activity? |

For managers, the immediate task is to establish a baseline, choose one bounded institutionalization unit, and review source findings at a frequency matched to the decision cycle. For policy makers and industry bodies, the priority is to reduce avoidable coordination costs, improve access to reliable guidance, and support capability development and not measuring success only by enrollment, installation, or nominal adoption.

Future research on digital capability building and business continuity among community-based enterprises should define populations and measures explicitly. Longitudinal observation is needed to determine whether continuity learning after disruption produces durable learning or only a temporary response. Mixed methods could connect changes in business continuity under operational disruption to the routines through which task-specific digital skills and peer troubleshooting networks are enacted.

## Limitations and Future Research

Three limitations qualify the synthesis. Source findings concerning community-based enterprises combining local relationships with digital sales, payments, records, and supplier coordination is heterogeneous and cannot establish one effect size for business continuity under operational disruption. Institutional sources clarify context but cannot replace unit-level causal source findings. In addition, the selected mechanisms may omit practices visible only through fieldwork, particularly where basic data protection or supplier communication routines is informal. The propositions are therefore expected to guide inquiry and not be treated as universal estimates.

Future research should operationalize business continuity under operational disruption with measures that distinguish activity, capability, and outcome. Comparative studies can examine whether organization size, ownership, regulatory exposure, location, or digital maturity changes the strength of the proposed relationships. Longitudinal observation is needed to separate temporary institutionalization disruption from durable improvement.

## Conclusion

The present synthesis examined digital capability building and business continuity among community-based enterprises through a conceptual narrative synthesis. The synthesis shows that business continuity under operational disruption cannot by itself arise automatically from formal adoption or expenditure. It rests on the interaction of task-specific digital skills, redundant sales and payment channels, basic data protection, peer troubleshooting networks, supplier communication routines, continuity learning after disruption.

The central conclusion is conditional. Digital access cannot by itself protect continuity when skills, backup routines, cyber awareness, and trusted local coordination remain uneven. Work systems are therefore expected to define the decision problem, build

proportionate governance, test institutionalization in stages, and combine leading indicators with outcome source findings. This approach treats uncertainty as something to manage through learning and not conceal through overly precise claims.

The operating model contributes a practical bridge between broad research findings and local decisions. It cannot by itself replace author judgment, peer review, or empirical validation. Its value lies in making mechanisms and assumptions explicit so that managers, policy makers, and researchers can examine where an intervention is likely to work, where it may fail, and what source findings should trigger revision.

## References

- Bharadwaj, A. S. (2000). A resource-based perspective on information technology capability and firm performance. *MIS Quarterly*, 24(1), 169-196. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3250983>
- Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: a capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research*, 13, 215-246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-019-0085-7>
- Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63, 102466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466>
- OECD. (2023). *OECD SME and Entrepreneurship Outlook 2023*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/342b8564-en>
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319-1350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640>
- Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 118-144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003>