KISA INSTITUTE

Journal of Economics, Accounting, Business, Management, Engineering and Society

DIGITAL FINANCIAL REPORTING GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGIC DECISION QUALITY IN INDONESIAN FAMILY-OWNED SMES

Raden Roro Fatmasari, Anggun Yolistina

Affiliation(s): Universitas Sangga Buana YPKP; Universitas Sangga Buana YPKP

Corresponding email(s): sarie801@yahoo.com; Anggun_yolistina@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. Aim: This topic-specific assessment explains how the relationship between the focal practices and strategic decision quality operates in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. Method: A structured narrative review integrates peer-reviewed research and authoritative institutional sources. Documented findings is coded by mechanism, boundary condition, execution risk, and practical implication. Results: The synthesis identifies six linked mechanisms: data ownership and master-data discipline, timely reconciliation and exception review, role clarity between owners and accounting personnel, dashboard interpretation and decision routines, access control and audit trails, learning from reporting errors. The analysis indicates that outcomes depend less on nominal adoption than on execution quality, governance, learning, and fit with local capacity. Conclusion: Decision makers should define the expected outcome, assign responsibility, establish a small set of auditable indicators, and revise the intervention when documented findings contradicts its assumptions. Contribution: The synthesis provides a conditional framework without claiming primary data that were not collected.

Keywords: digital financial reporting; governance; decision quality; family-owned SMEs; internal control; Indonesia

Introduction

Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. This issue is particularly important for Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. The central managerial question is not whether the focal practice is fashionable or technically available, but whether it changes information, incentives, coordination, and action in ways that improve strategic decision quality.

The synthesis asks three questions. First, which mechanisms plausibly connect the focal practice with strategic decision quality? Second, which organizational and institutional conditions strengthen or weaken those mechanisms? Third, which execution and measurement choices allow organizational leaders to distinguish genuine capability from surface-level adoption?

Literature Review

Research relevant to digital financial reporting governance and strategic decision quality in indonesian family-owned smes crosses strategy, organization, technology, finance, and public-policy perspectives. Vial (2019) describes digital transformation as a process that changes value creation and organizational arrangements, while Teece (2007) emphasizes the capacity to sense change, seize opportunities, and reconfigure resources. Read together, these perspectives shift attention from possession of an input to the capability to use it reliably.

An input may be a platform, infrastructure asset, financial instrument, reporting rule, training program, or relationship. A capability is the repeated ability to combine that input with judgment and coordinated action. In Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting, the distinction is decisive because adoption is observable immediately, while strategic decision quality often emerges later and may be influenced by several conditions at once.

For Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting, efficiency and resilience create a specific tension. Data ownership and master-data discipline may reduce routine friction, yet timely reconciliation and exception review determines whether that improvement survives disruption. An intervention that raises average speed while concentrating dependence on one system, person, supplier, or channel can weaken strategic decision quality. The literature must therefore be read against both normal operations and periods of stress.

Information credibility is especially relevant to role clarity between owners and accounting personnel and dashboard interpretation and decision routines. Participants will not alter behavior merely because information is available; they assess its timeliness, intelligibility, source, and practical relevance. In the present setting, trust is produced through consistent execution and fair exception handling. This helps explain why nominal adoption can coexist with weak strategic decision quality.

The resulting model places data ownership and master-data discipline, role clarity between owners and accounting personnel, and access control and audit trails on the governance side, while timely reconciliation and exception review, dashboard interpretation and decision routines, and learning from reporting errors describe execution and learning. Their interaction is expected to shape strategic decision quality; no single mechanism is assumed to have the same effect across every organization, region, or user group.

Research Method

A structured narrative review was selected for the question of digital financial reporting governance and strategic decision quality in indonesian family-owned smes. A meta-analysis would be inappropriate because studies of Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting use different units, measures, sectors, and causal designs. The search logic therefore emphasized peer-reviewed studies, authoritative standards, and institutional documented findings capable of explaining the mechanisms behind strategic decision quality. Foundational older sources were retained only when they define a concept used directly in the synthesis.

Sources were coded for four elements: the operational meaning of strategic decision quality; the proposed causal or behavioral pathway; the boundary conditions affecting data ownership and master-data discipline through learning from reporting errors; and the decision implication for the focal setting. The analysis reports patterns and tensions and not pooled coefficients, because the underlying documented findings cannot support a single causal estimate.

Analytical theme	Central question	Operational evidence
Data ownership and master-data discipline	How does data ownership and master-data discipline influence strategic decision quality?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to data ownership and master-data discipline.
Timely reconciliation and exception review	How does timely reconciliation and exception review influence strategic decision quality?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to timely reconciliation and exception review.
Role clarity between owners and accounting personnel	How does role clarity between owners and accounting personnel influence strategic decision quality?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to role clarity between owners and accounting personnel.
Dashboard interpretation and decision routines	How does dashboard interpretation and decision routines influence strategic decision quality?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to dashboard interpretation and decision routines.
Access control and audit trails	How does access control and audit trails influence strategic decision quality?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to access control and audit trails.
Learning from reporting errors	How does learning from reporting errors influence strategic decision quality?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to learning from reporting errors.

The principal limitation is that the synthesis cannot by itself use original interviews, surveys, experiments, or administrative microdata. Its results are therefore propositions supported by synthesis and not estimates of effect size. The named authors should verify the interpretation, references, affiliations, and practical relevance before submission.

Results and Discussion

Data ownership and master-data discipline

For the focal service providers, data ownership and master-data discipline becomes material when it alters who receives information, who acts, and how quickly an exception is resolved. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, data ownership and master-data discipline is required to be examined together with timely reconciliation and exception review, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible execution path.

A useful test of data ownership and master-data discipline is whether participants can apply it consistently when operating conditions depart from plan. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, data ownership and master-data discipline is required to be examined together with role clarity between owners and accounting personnel, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

Analysis of data ownership and master-data discipline begins with a practical distinction between formal availability and dependable use in everyday work. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, data ownership and master-data discipline is required to be examined together with dashboard interpretation and decision routines, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible execution path.

Timely reconciliation and exception review

A useful test of timely reconciliation and exception review is whether participants can apply it consistently when operating conditions depart from plan. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice is capable of being expanded. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, timely reconciliation and exception review is required to be examined together with role clarity between owners and accounting personnel, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

Analysis of timely reconciliation and exception review begins with a practical distinction between formal availability and dependable use in everyday work. Operational reliability rests on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, timely reconciliation and exception review is required to be examined together with dashboard interpretation and decision routines, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. Managers are therefore expected to compare activity records with documented findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for strategic decision quality.

For the focal service providers, timely reconciliation and exception review becomes material when it alters who receives information, who acts, and how quickly an exception is resolved. Within Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, timely reconciliation and exception review is required to be examined together with access control and audit trails, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

Discussion by Analytical Theme

Role clarity between owners and accounting personnel

Analysis of role clarity between owners and accounting personnel begins with a practical distinction between formal availability and dependable use in everyday work. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Digital reports can be produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, role clarity between owners and accounting personnel must be examined together with dashboard interpretation and decision routines, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. Kraus et al. (2022) and Teece (2007) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

For the focal service providers, role clarity between owners and accounting personnel becomes material when it alters who receives information, who acts, and how quickly an exception is resolved. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, role clarity between owners and accounting personnel is required to be examined together with access control and audit trails, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for role clarity between owners and accounting personnel with a lagging measure of strategic decision quality.

A useful test of role clarity between owners and accounting personnel is whether participants can apply it consistently when operating conditions depart from plan. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Digital reports can be produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, role clarity between owners and accounting personnel must be examined together with learning from reporting errors, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. Teece (2007) and Vial (2019) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

Dashboard interpretation and decision routines

For the focal service providers, dashboard interpretation and decision routines becomes material when it alters who receives information, who acts, and how quickly an exception is resolved. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice is capable of being expanded. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, dashboard interpretation and decision routines is required to be examined together with access control and audit trails, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible execution path.

A useful test of dashboard interpretation and decision routines is whether participants can apply it consistently when operating conditions depart from plan. Operational reliability rests on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, dashboard interpretation and decision routines is required to be examined together with learning from reporting errors, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

Analysis of dashboard interpretation and decision routines begins with a practical distinction between formal availability and dependable use in everyday work. Within Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, dashboard interpretation and decision routines is required to be examined together with data ownership and master-data discipline, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible execution path.

Discussion by Analytical Theme

Access control and audit trails

A useful test of access control and audit trails is whether participants can apply it consistently when operating conditions depart from plan. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, access control and audit trails is required to be examined together with learning from reporting errors, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

Analysis of access control and audit trails begins with a practical distinction between formal availability and dependable use in everyday work. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, access control and audit trails is required to be examined together with data ownership and master-data discipline, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. Managers are therefore expected to compare activity records with documented findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for strategic decision quality.

For the focal service providers, access control and audit trails becomes material when it alters who receives information, who acts, and how quickly an exception is resolved. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, access control and audit trails is required to be examined together with timely reconciliation and exception review, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

Learning from reporting errors

Analysis of learning from reporting errors begins with a practical distinction between formal availability and dependable use in everyday work. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice can be expanded. Digital reports can be produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, learning from reporting errors must be examined together with data ownership and master-data discipline, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. OECD (2023) and World Bank (2022) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

For the focal service providers, learning from reporting errors becomes material when it alters who receives information, who acts, and how quickly an exception is resolved. Operational reliability rests on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, learning from reporting errors is required to be examined together with timely reconciliation and exception review, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for learning from reporting errors with a lagging measure of strategic decision quality.

A useful test of learning from reporting errors is whether participants can apply it consistently when operating conditions depart from plan. Within Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Digital reports can be produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. For this topic, learning from reporting errors must be examined together with role clarity between owners and accounting personnel, because both shape strategic decision quality in Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting. Vial (2019) and OECD (2023) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

Integrated Mechanism Framework

The six mechanisms form a connected operating system for Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting, not a checklist. Weakness in data ownership and master-data discipline can restrict timely reconciliation and exception review, while poor access control and audit trails may prevent lessons from reaching learning from reporting errors. For strategic decision quality, the value of one mechanism therefore rests on whether neighboring routines supply credible information, authority, and feedback.

The first pathway connects information to judgment. Data ownership and master-data discipline and timely reconciliation and exception review improve visibility, but decision quality also requires interpretation and an agreed response. The second pathway connects organization to execution through role clarity between owners and accounting personnel and dashboard interpretation and decision routines. The third pathway connects accountability to adaptation through access control and audit trails and learning from reporting errors.

The explanatory scheme predicts that strategic decision quality will be strongest when all three pathways reach a minimum viable level. Excellence in a single component cannot fully compensate for basic weakness elsewhere. This complementarity explains why service providers may invest substantially yet observe limited results: resources are allocated to the visible technology or program while less visible routines, responsibilities, and feedback processes remain unchanged.

Sequence matters for digital financial reporting governance and strategic decision quality in indonesian family-owned smes. A credible execution starts with the concrete problem: Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. It then documents the current process, assigns ownership for data ownership and master-data discipline, pilots changes affecting timely reconciliation and exception review, and records exceptions before wider adoption. Documented findings from the pilot should determine whether expansion is justified.

Governance in this framework protects the purpose of improving strategic decision quality. It specifies authority for role clarity between owners and accounting personnel, acceptable risk around dashboard interpretation and decision routines, documentation for access control and audit trails, and escalation when learning from reporting errors reveals failure. Proportionate controls make adaptation safer without turning every local decision into a centralized approval.

Managerial and Policy Implications

Decision makers should translate the objective of improving strategic decision quality into a small measurement architecture. Leading indicators capture whether the mechanism is operating; lagging indicators capture whether the intended outcome follows. Both are needed because an early increase in activity may reflect execution effort and not sustainable value.

Priority	Owner	Leading indicator	Review question
Data ownership and master-data discipline	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for data ownership and master-data discipline	Is data ownership and master-data discipline changing decisions or only activity?
Timely reconciliation and exception review	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for timely reconciliation and exception review	Is timely reconciliation and exception review changing decisions or only activity?
Role clarity between owners and accounting personnel	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for role clarity between owners and accounting personnel	Is role clarity between owners and accounting personnel changing decisions or only activity?
Dashboard interpretation and decision routines	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for dashboard interpretation and decision routines	Is dashboard interpretation and decision routines changing decisions or only activity?
Access control and audit trails	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for access control and audit trails	Is access control and audit trails changing decisions or only activity?
Learning from reporting errors	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for learning from reporting errors	Is learning from reporting errors changing decisions or only activity?

For managers, the immediate task is to establish a baseline, choose one bounded execution unit, and review documented findings at a frequency matched to the decision cycle. For policy makers and industry bodies, the priority is to reduce avoidable coordination costs, improve access to reliable guidance, and support capability development and not measuring success only by enrollment, installation, or nominal adoption.

Future research on digital financial reporting governance and strategic decision quality in indonesian family-owned smes should define populations and measures explicitly. Longitudinal observation is needed to determine whether learning from reporting errors produces durable learning or only a temporary response. Mixed methods could connect changes in strategic decision quality to the routines through which data ownership and master-data discipline and dashboard interpretation and decision routines are enacted.

Limitations and Future Research

Three limitations qualify the synthesis. Documented findings concerning Indonesian family-owned small and medium-sized enterprises moving from owner-centred bookkeeping toward integrated digital reporting is heterogeneous and cannot establish one effect size for strategic decision quality. Institutional sources clarify context but cannot replace unit-level causal documented findings. In addition, the selected mechanisms may omit practices visible only through fieldwork, particularly where role clarity between owners and accounting personnel or access control and audit trails is informal. The propositions are therefore expected to guide inquiry and not be treated as universal estimates.

Future research should operationalize strategic decision quality with measures that distinguish activity, capability, and outcome. Comparative studies can examine whether organization size, ownership, regulatory exposure, location, or digital maturity changes the strength of the proposed relationships. Longitudinal observation is needed to separate temporary execution disruption from durable improvement.

Conclusion

This topic-specific assessment examined digital financial reporting governance and strategic decision quality in indonesian family-owned smes through a structured narrative review. The synthesis shows that strategic decision quality cannot by itself arise automatically from formal adoption or expenditure. It rests on the interaction of data ownership and master-data discipline, timely reconciliation and exception review, role clarity between owners and accounting personnel, dashboard interpretation and decision routines, access control and audit trails, learning from reporting errors.

The central conclusion is conditional. Digital reports is capable of being produced quickly while remaining incomplete, weakly reconciled, or disconnected from the decisions owners actually make. Service providers are therefore expected to define the decision problem, build proportionate governance, test execution in stages, and combine leading indicators with outcome documented findings. This approach treats uncertainty as something to manage through learning and not conceal through overly precise claims.

The explanatory scheme contributes a practical bridge between broad research findings and local decisions. It cannot by itself replace author judgment, peer review, or empirical validation. Its value lies in making mechanisms and assumptions explicit so that managers, policy makers, and researchers can examine where an intervention is likely to work, where it may fail, and what documented findings should trigger revision.

References

Knudsen, D.-R. (2020). Elusive boundaries, power relations, and knowledge production: A systematic review of the literature on digitalization in accounting. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 36, 100441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2019.100441

Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. (2013). Internal Control - Integrated Framework. COSO.

Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research. International Journal of Information Management, 63, 102466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466

Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 28(2), 118-144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003