KISA INSTITUTE

Journal of Economics, Accounting, Business, Management, Engineering and Society

GREEN TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENT AND OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY IN REGIONAL MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

Adang Haryaman, Arif Budi Raharja

Affiliation(s): Universitas Logistik dan Bisnis Internasional; STIE YKP, Yogyakarta
Corresponding email(s): adang@ulbi.ac.id; budiraharja1970@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. Aim: The current analysis explains how the relationship between the focal practices and operational efficiency operates in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Method: A structured narrative review integrates peer-reviewed research and authoritative institutional sources. Published findings is coded by mechanism, boundary condition, practice development risk, and practical implication. Results: The synthesis identifies six linked mechanisms: energy and material productivity, life-cycle investment appraisal, process integration, maintenance capability, supplier and worker participation, measurement of environmental and operating outcomes. The synthesis indicates that outcomes depend less on nominal adoption than on practice development quality, governance, learning, and fit with local capacity. Conclusion: Decision makers should define the expected outcome, assign responsibility, establish a small set of auditable indicators, and revise the intervention when published findings contradicts its assumptions. Contribution: The synthesis provides a conditional framework without claiming primary data that were not collected.

Keywords: green technology; manufacturing; operational efficiency; cleaner production; investment appraisal; regional industry

Introduction

Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. This issue is particularly important for regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. The central managerial question is not whether the focal practice is fashionable or technically available, but whether it changes information, incentives, coordination, and action in ways that improve operational efficiency.

The synthesis asks three questions. First, which mechanisms plausibly connect the focal practice with operational efficiency? Second, which organizational and institutional conditions strengthen or weaken those mechanisms? Third, which practice development and measurement choices allow governance teams to distinguish genuine capability from surface-level adoption?

Literature Review

Research relevant to green technology investment and operational efficiency in regional manufacturing industries crosses strategy, organization, technology, finance, and public-policy perspectives. Vial (2019) describes digital transformation as a process that changes value creation and organizational arrangements, while Teece (2007) emphasizes the capacity to sense change, seize opportunities, and reconfigure resources. Read together, these perspectives shift attention from possession of an input to the capability to use it reliably.

An input may be a platform, infrastructure asset, financial instrument, reporting rule, training program, or relationship. A capability is the repeated ability to combine that input with judgment and coordinated action. In regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices, the distinction is decisive because adoption is observable immediately, while operational efficiency often emerges later and may be influenced by several conditions at once.

For regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices, efficiency and resilience create a specific tension. Energy and material productivity may reduce routine friction, yet life-cycle investment appraisal determines whether that improvement survives disruption. An intervention that raises average speed while concentrating dependence on one system, person, supplier, or channel can weaken operational efficiency. The literature must therefore be read against both normal operations and periods of stress.

Information credibility is especially relevant to process integration and maintenance capability. Participants will not alter behavior merely because information is available; they assess its timeliness, intelligibility, source, and practical relevance. In the present setting, trust is produced through consistent execution and fair exception handling. This helps explain why nominal adoption can coexist with weak operational efficiency.

The resulting model places energy and material productivity, process integration, and supplier and worker participation on the governance side, while life-cycle investment appraisal, maintenance capability, and measurement of environmental and operating outcomes describe execution and learning. Their interaction is expected to shape operational efficiency; no single mechanism is assumed to have the same effect across every organization, region, or user group.

Research Method

A structured narrative review was selected for the question of green technology investment and operational efficiency in regional manufacturing industries. A meta-analysis would be inappropriate because studies of regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices use different units, measures, sectors, and causal designs. The search logic therefore emphasized peer-reviewed studies, authoritative standards, and institutional published findings capable of explaining the mechanisms behind operational efficiency. Foundational older sources were retained only when they define a concept used directly in the synthesis.

Sources were coded for four elements: the operational meaning of operational efficiency; the proposed causal or behavioral pathway; the boundary conditions affecting energy and material productivity through measurement of environmental and operating outcomes; and the decision implication for the focal setting. The synthesis reports patterns and tensions as opposed to pooled coefficients, because the underlying published findings cannot support a single causal estimate.

Analytical theme	Central question	Operational evidence
Energy and material productivity	How does energy and material productivity influence operational efficiency?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to energy and material productivity.
Life-cycle investment appraisal	How does life-cycle investment appraisal influence operational efficiency?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to life-cycle investment appraisal.
Process integration	How does process integration influence operational efficiency?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to process integration.
Maintenance capability	How does maintenance capability influence operational efficiency?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to maintenance capability.
Supplier and worker participation	How does supplier and worker participation influence operational efficiency?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to supplier and worker participation.
Measurement of environmental and operating outcomes	How does measurement of environmental and operating outcomes influence operational efficiency?	Ownership, routine, exception records, user behavior, and outcome indicators related to measurement of environmental and operating outcomes.

The principal limitation is that the synthesis cannot automatically use original interviews, surveys, experiments, or administrative microdata. Its results are therefore propositions supported by synthesis as opposed to estimates of effect size. The named authors should verify the interpretation, references, affiliations, and practical relevance before submission.

Results and Discussion

Energy and material productivity

The mechanism behind energy and material productivity concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice is able to be expanded. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, energy and material productivity is expected to be examined together with life-cycle investment appraisal, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

The analytical issue is whether energy and material productivity changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Operational reliability is conditional on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, energy and material productivity is expected to be examined together with process integration, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible practice development path.

The value of energy and material productivity should be assessed at the point where routine work affects operational efficiency. Within regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, energy and material productivity is expected to be examined together with maintenance capability, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

Life-cycle investment appraisal

The analytical issue is whether life-cycle investment appraisal changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, life-cycle investment appraisal is expected to be examined together with process integration, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Managers thus need to compare activity records with published findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for operational efficiency.

The value of life-cycle investment appraisal should be assessed at the point where routine work affects operational efficiency. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, life-cycle investment appraisal is expected to be examined together with maintenance capability, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

The mechanism behind life-cycle investment appraisal concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, life-cycle investment appraisal is expected to be examined together with supplier and worker participation, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Managers thus need to compare activity records with published findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for operational efficiency.

Discussion by Analytical Theme

Process integration

The value of process integration should be assessed at the point where routine work affects operational efficiency. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice is able to be expanded. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, process integration is expected to be examined together with maintenance capability, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for process integration with a lagging measure of operational efficiency.

The mechanism behind process integration concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Operational reliability depends on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, process integration must be examined together with supplier and worker participation, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Vial (2019) and OECD (2023) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

The analytical issue is whether process integration changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Within regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, process integration is expected to be examined together with measurement of environmental and operating outcomes, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for process integration with a lagging measure of operational efficiency.

Maintenance capability

The mechanism behind maintenance capability concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, maintenance capability is expected to be examined together with supplier and worker participation, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

The analytical issue is whether maintenance capability changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, maintenance capability is expected to be examined together with measurement of environmental and operating outcomes, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. A bounded pilot, named owner, documented exception log, and explicit review threshold provide a defensible practice development path.

The value of maintenance capability should be assessed at the point where routine work affects operational efficiency. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, maintenance capability is expected to be examined together with energy and material productivity, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. This interpretation favors transparent learning over a one-time declaration that the initiative has succeeded.

Discussion by Analytical Theme

Supplier and worker participation

The analytical issue is whether supplier and worker participation changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. Local constraints shape both the feasible design and the pace at which the practice is able to be expanded. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, supplier and worker participation is expected to be examined together with measurement of environmental and operating outcomes, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Managers thus need to compare activity records with published findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for operational efficiency.

The value of supplier and worker participation should be assessed at the point where routine work affects operational efficiency. Operational reliability is conditional on how the practice is connected to adjacent workflows and existing accountabilities. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, supplier and worker participation is expected to be examined together with energy and material productivity, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. The appropriate response is to test assumptions locally, preserve reversibility, and expand only after the operating mechanism is demonstrated.

The mechanism behind supplier and worker participation concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Within regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices, responsibility, usable records, and exception routines determine whether the mechanism functions. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, supplier and worker participation is expected to be examined together with life-cycle investment appraisal, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Managers thus need to compare activity records with published findings of reliability, user response, and consequences for operational efficiency.

Measurement of environmental and operating outcomes

The value of measurement of environmental and operating outcomes should be assessed at the point where routine work affects operational efficiency. Its effect is conditional on skills, authority, incentives, and the credibility of the supporting information. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, measurement of environmental and operating outcomes is expected to be examined together with energy and material productivity, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for measurement of environmental and operating outcomes with a lagging measure of operational efficiency.

The mechanism behind measurement of environmental and operating outcomes concerns coordination: resources must reach the person able to use them before the decision window closes. Variation in size, governance, infrastructure, and exposure means that identical adoption levels need not produce identical results. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, measurement of environmental and operating outcomes must be examined together with life-cycle investment appraisal, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Kraus et al. (2022) and Teece (2007) indicate that capability must be observed through repeated performance, not inferred from expenditure or installation.

The analytical issue is whether measurement of environmental and operating outcomes changes an actual decision, not simply whether a new procedure is visible. The mechanism can fail when ownership is diffuse, feedback is delayed, or users cannot challenge an implausible output. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. For this topic, measurement of environmental and operating outcomes is expected to be examined together with process integration, because both shape operational efficiency in regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices. Evaluation should combine a leading indicator for measurement of environmental and operating outcomes with a lagging measure of operational efficiency.

Integrated Mechanism Framework

The six mechanisms form a connected operating system for regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices, not a checklist. Weakness in energy and material productivity can restrict life-cycle investment appraisal, while poor supplier and worker participation may prevent lessons from reaching measurement of environmental and operating outcomes. For operational efficiency, the value of one mechanism therefore is conditional on whether neighboring routines supply credible information, authority, and feedback.

The first pathway connects information to judgment. Energy and material productivity and life-cycle investment appraisal improve visibility, but decision quality also requires interpretation and an agreed response. The second pathway connects organization to execution through process integration and maintenance capability. The third pathway connects accountability to adaptation through supplier and worker participation and measurement of environmental and operating outcomes.

The mechanism map predicts that operational efficiency will be strongest when all three pathways reach a minimum viable level. Excellence in a single component cannot fully compensate for basic weakness elsewhere. This complementarity explains why operating entities may invest substantially yet observe limited results: resources are allocated to the visible technology or program while less visible routines, responsibilities, and feedback processes remain unchanged.

Sequence matters for green technology investment and operational efficiency in regional manufacturing industries. A credible practice development starts with the concrete problem: Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. It then documents the current process, assigns ownership for energy and material productivity, pilots changes affecting life-cycle investment appraisal, and records exceptions before wider adoption. Published findings from the pilot should determine whether expansion is justified.

Governance in this framework protects the purpose of improving operational efficiency. It specifies authority for process integration, acceptable risk around maintenance capability, documentation for supplier and worker participation, and escalation when measurement of environmental and operating outcomes reveals failure. Proportionate controls make adaptation safer without turning every local decision into a centralized approval.

Managerial and Policy Implications

Decision makers should translate the objective of improving operational efficiency into a small measurement architecture. Leading indicators capture whether the mechanism is operating; lagging indicators capture whether the intended outcome follows. Both are needed because an early increase in activity may reflect practice development effort as opposed to sustainable value.

Priority	Owner	Leading indicator	Review question
Energy and material productivity	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for energy and material productivity	Is energy and material productivity changing decisions or only activity?
Life-cycle investment appraisal	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for life-cycle investment appraisal	Is life-cycle investment appraisal changing decisions or only activity?
Process integration	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for process integration	Is process integration changing decisions or only activity?
Maintenance capability	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for maintenance capability	Is maintenance capability changing decisions or only activity?
Supplier and worker participation	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for supplier and worker participation	Is supplier and worker participation changing decisions or only activity?
Measurement of environmental and operating outcomes	Named process owner	Completion and exception evidence for measurement of environmental and operating outcomes	Is measurement of environmental and operating outcomes changing decisions or only activity?

For managers, the immediate task is to establish a baseline, choose one bounded practice development unit, and review published findings at a frequency matched to the decision cycle. For policy makers and industry bodies, the priority is to reduce avoidable coordination costs, improve access to reliable guidance, and support capability development as opposed to measuring success only by enrollment, installation, or nominal adoption.

Future research on green technology investment and operational efficiency in regional manufacturing industries should define populations and measures explicitly. Longitudinal observation is needed to determine whether measurement of environmental and operating outcomes produces durable learning or only a temporary response. Mixed methods could connect changes in operational efficiency to the routines through which energy and material productivity and maintenance capability are enacted.

Limitations and Future Research

Three limitations qualify the synthesis. Published findings concerning regional manufacturing firms evaluating energy-efficient equipment, cleaner production, monitoring systems, and circular-resource practices is heterogeneous and cannot establish one effect size for operational efficiency. Institutional sources clarify context but cannot replace unit-level causal published findings. In addition, the selected mechanisms may omit practices visible only through fieldwork, particularly where process integration or supplier and worker participation is informal. The propositions thus need to guide inquiry as opposed to be treated as universal estimates.

Future research should operationalize operational efficiency with measures that distinguish activity, capability, and outcome. Comparative studies can examine whether organization size, ownership, regulatory exposure, location, or digital maturity changes the strength of the proposed relationships. Longitudinal observation is needed to separate temporary practice development disruption from durable improvement.

Conclusion

The current analysis examined green technology investment and operational efficiency in regional manufacturing industries through a structured narrative review. The synthesis shows that operational efficiency cannot automatically arise automatically from formal adoption or expenditure. It is conditional on the interaction of energy and material productivity, life-cycle investment appraisal, process integration, maintenance capability, supplier and worker participation, measurement of environmental and operating outcomes.

The central conclusion is conditional. Green investment can reduce waste and energy intensity, but returns depend on process redesign, utilization, maintenance, workforce skills, and the quality of investment appraisal. Operating entities thus need to define the decision problem, build proportionate governance, test practice development in stages, and combine leading indicators with outcome published findings. This approach treats uncertainty as something to manage through learning as opposed to conceal through overly precise claims.

The mechanism map contributes a practical bridge between broad research findings and local decisions. It cannot automatically replace author judgment, peer review, or empirical validation. Its value lies in making mechanisms and assumptions explicit so that managers, policy makers, and researchers can examine where an intervention is likely to work, where it may fail, and what published findings should trigger revision.

References

Porter, M. E., & van der Linde, C. (1995). Toward a new conception of the environment-competitiveness relationship. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 9(4), 97-118. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.9.4.97

International Energy Agency. (2023). Energy Efficiency 2023. IEA.

Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 28(2), 118-144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003

Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research. International Journal of Information Management, 63, 102466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466