

# Digital Payment Adoption and Monetary Transmission in Local Consumer Markets

Arif Budi Raharja (1) and Ir. Nida Garnida Fitrianti, MSI (2)

(1) Universitas Mathla'ul Anwar, Banten | (2) Yayasan Kreatif Indonesia Emas

budiraharja1970@gmail.com ; NidaFitrianti@gmail.com

## Abstract

This integrative review examines the following Indonesia-focused question: How does wider use of digital payments alter the channels through which monetary policy reaches household spending and local merchant activity? The analysis treats digital payments as a conditional economic mechanism rather than a stand-alone headline indicator and evaluates how it interacts with monetary transmission. For digital payments, peer-reviewed research is read together with current official statistical and policy material, with Indonesia-specific evidence given priority and international studies used to clarify mechanisms. Across the reviewed material, the effect of digital payments varies with exposure, timing, market structure, institutional arrangements, and the capacity of decision makers to adjust. The article therefore develops a mechanism-based interpretation that distinguishes first-round transmission, behavioral response, and boundary conditions for monetary transmission. No primary survey, interview, firm observation, or regression estimate is manufactured for this digital payments conceptual review; empirical claims remain attributed to their original sources. The resulting digital payments framework supports differentiated measurement and identifies an Indonesia-specific research agenda for testing the proposed channels with more granular data.

Keywords: digital payments, monetary transmission, QRIS, money demand, consumer markets, Indonesia

## 1. Introduction

Indonesia has moved rapidly from cash-dominant retail transactions toward mobile banking, e-money, and QR-based payments. The change is more than a payment convenience: it affects transaction speed, account visibility, liquidity management, and the connection between consumers, merchants, banks, and platform providers. These features can modify how policy-rate changes reach spending decisions.

Studies of monetary transmission typically focus on bank lending, interest rates, exchange rates, and asset prices. Digital-payment research often focuses on adoption. A gap remains between the two literatures: adoption can reshape money demand and transaction behavior without necessarily strengthening every traditional monetary channel.

The central research question is: How does wider use of digital payments alter the channels through which monetary policy reaches household spending and local merchant activity? Framing the problem around digital payments and monetary transmission is important because national averages can mask economically relevant differences in exposure and adjustment across Indonesian firms, households, sectors, and regions.

The review develops a channel-based account of digital payments and monetary transmission, distinguishing transaction velocity, deposit substitution, merchant formalization, credit-data generation,

network effects, and inclusion constraints.

The analytical scope is deliberately mechanism based. For digital payments, the review asks which conditions amplify, weaken, delay, or redirect the observed relationship with monetary transmission; this makes composition, selection, contract structure, and timing part of the explanation rather than residual noise.

The paper develops four topic-specific literature themes, documents a transparent evidence-screening approach, synthesizes six substantive findings, and translates those findings into a measurement framework and practical

implications for digital payments. Indonesia-focused studies on digital payments carry the empirical weight, while international evidence is used only when it clarifies a channel that remains underidentified in the domestic literature.

## 2. Literature Review

### Transaction technology and money demand

Digital payments reduce the need to hold cash for many routine transactions and can change how frequently households move funds between accounts and payment instruments. This alters transaction demand for money even when total wealth is unchanged.

A digital wallet or QR payment does not create monetary policy by itself; it changes the infrastructure through which existing money is accessed and spent. The transmission question is whether lower transaction friction makes spending respond faster to income, rates, and credit conditions.

Money-demand models therefore need to distinguish cash, transaction deposits, stored value, and near-instant transfers rather than using one aggregate liquidity measure.

### Bank lending and data footprints

Digital payments create transaction records for merchants that previously operated largely in cash. These records may support cash-flow assessment, formal accounts, and working-capital products.

If payment data improve credit screening, the bank-lending channel can reach smaller merchants more effectively; if lending remains costly or platform-specific, the data advantage may not translate into broad credit access.

The transmission effect depends on interoperability, consent, data governance, and competition between banks and non-bank providers.

### Interest-rate salience and wallet balances

Consumers do not necessarily perceive policy-rate changes when funds are held in low-remuneration wallets or transaction accounts. Faster payments can increase velocity while reducing the direct salience of deposit rates for small balances.

Integrated mobile banking can make rate comparisons, transfers, and saving products easier to access, potentially strengthening portfolio reallocation.

Digitalization can therefore strengthen some interest-rate pathways while weakening the importance of cash opportunity cost, making the net effect an empirical question.

Inclusion and network heterogeneity

Transmission can become more geographically even when digital infrastructure reaches smaller cities and merchant networks, but adoption gaps remain across age, income, device access, digital literacy, and business formality.

A network with many registered users but low active usage has a smaller macroeconomic effect than an ecosystem in which households receive income, pay bills, shop, and save digitally.

Analysis should distinguish access, active use, transaction intensity, and linkage to regulated deposit or credit products.

### 3. Research Method

This manuscript is a conceptual narrative draft revised through a chronological source filter. Bibliography entries dated after 31 December 2023 and sentences explicitly invoking those years have been excluded. Original pre-cutoff references have been retained, with a limited historical source context added separately. This revision does not constitute a new systematic search, a meta-analysis, or a reproducible coding exercise.

The historical source cutoff is stated here so that the scope of the discussion is explicit.

The remaining discussion proposes mechanisms and research questions. It does not supply new observations, estimated coefficients, or verified causal effects. Earlier reference entries retained from the source draft are retained as supplied and should be assessed against the original publications when interpreting specific claims. Sources added during this revision support only the historical context described in the dedicated source-context section.

### 4. Results and Discussion

#### 4.1 Digital payments change transaction velocity before they change monetary policy itself

The first substantive result concerns digital payments change transaction velocity before they change monetary policy itself. In the context of digital payments, the operative mechanism is that lower payment friction allows balances to circulate more quickly and reduces the operational need for cash buffers, modifying the mapping from money stocks to spending flows; this means an aggregate correlation with monetary transmission can misstate what individual units actually experience.

For digital payments, the strongest inference is therefore about when the mechanism should intensify or weaken, not about a universal numerical elasticity.

The digital payments change transaction velocity before they change monetary policy itself interpretation remains bounded because higher velocity can reflect convenience rather than excess aggregate demand, so interpretation requires information on income and credit. Future empirical work on monetary transmission should measure that conditioning factor directly, while current practice can respond by ensuring that monetary analysis should supplement conventional money aggregates with transaction-frequency and instrument-use indicators.

## 4.2 Merchant payment records can widen the lending channel

A second channel is merchant payment records can widen the lending channel, which is analytically distinct from the headline movement in digital payments. Here, electronic receipts create observable cash-flow histories that can reduce information gaps for micro and small merchants seeking formal finance; that sequence helps explain why units exposed to the same aggregate signal can record different outcomes for monetary transmission.

The literature relevant to merchant payment records can widen the lending channel provides complementary support: digital-finance research and inclusion evidence support the possibility that payments become an entry point to credit. For merchant payment records can widen the lending channel, differences in period and method prevent numerical equivalence, but the sources converge on a mechanism that can be tested directly in the Indonesian setting.

For merchant payment records can widen the lending channel, a key qualification is that data abundance does not guarantee affordable credit when collateral rules, risk models, or competition remain restrictive. Decision rules for digital payments should therefore avoid a single threshold and instead operationalize the implication that banks and platforms should test whether payment-history underwriting improves approval quality without creating opaque exclusion.

## 4.3 Rate transmission is stronger where digital balances connect to savings and credit products

The third finding emphasizes rate transmission is stronger where digital balances connect to savings and credit products. Aggregate summaries of digital payments often conceal the following economic logic: an integrated account makes it easier for users to shift money between transaction balances, deposits, and debt repayment when market rates change. Once that channel is made explicit, variation in monetary transmission across regions, firms, or households becomes an expected feature of the system.

Triangulation for rate transmission is stronger where digital balances connect to savings and credit products is useful because emerging-market transmission evidence emphasizes the importance of financial structure, while payment digitalization changes that structure at the retail edge. For rate transmission is stronger where digital

balances connect to savings and credit products, no one source establishes every link, yet together the evidence yields a proposition that can be subjected to direct Indonesia-specific testing.

The proposition on rate transmission is stronger where digital balances connect to savings and credit products should not be generalized beyond its conditions, since stand-alone wallets with capped or non-interest-bearing balances may have little direct sensitivity to policy rates. A more disciplined application of digital payments is to observe the mechanism first and then act so that policy analysis should separate payment adoption from the depth of users relationships with regulated financial products.

## 4.4 Network effects can accelerate local consumption responses

The fourth result identifies network effects can accelerate local consumption responses as a source of nonlinearity between digital payments and monetary transmission. Specifically, when many consumers and merchants use interoperable digital payments, promotions, transfers, and settlement occur with fewer frictions, allowing income and credit shocks to reach retail spending quickly; proportional changes in the

initial driver therefore need not generate proportional changes in the outcome.

Support for the network effects can accelerate local consumption responses interpretation includes QR-based ecosystems provide a practical example of two-sided network expansion in local markets. For network effects can accelerate local consumption responses, the reviewed sources observe different stages of adjustment, so they are most informative when read as sequential evidence rather than interchangeable estimates.

The nonlinearity associated with network effects can accelerate local consumption responses is conditional because faster response does not imply a larger long-run multiplier and can be influenced by promotional subsidies. Managers and policymakers should keep the institutional setting visible and, where the condition is met, implement the response that research should compare high- and low-adoption localities using active transaction intensity rather than registration counts.

#### 4.5 Digitalization can make transmission more inclusive but also more uneven

The fifth finding, digitalization can make transmission more inclusive but also more uneven, helps reconcile apparently conflicting claims about digital payments. Once the unit of exposure is specified, remote or previously cash-based users may become connected to formal finance, while people with weak connectivity, low literacy, or limited documentation can remain outside the main channels; averages can consequently hide subgroup responses that matter for monetary transmission.

The evidence base for digitalization can make transmission more inclusive but also more uneven is anchored by the observation that Global Findex evidence and Indonesian inclusion policy both show that access and usage are distinct dimensions. For digitalization can make transmission more inclusive but also more uneven, Indonesian structural differences make this channel particularly relevant because they alter both the magnitude and the timing of adjustment.

Any inference from digitalization can make transmission more inclusive but also more uneven must still recognize that a national adoption average can hide local gaps in reliability, merchant acceptance, and consumer protection. The appropriate response is differentiated measurement rather than blanket intervention, including the practical step that payment-system expansion should be paired with service quality, dispute resolution, accessibility, and digital-literacy measures.

#### 4.6 Real-time payment data improve diagnosis but require disciplined interpretation

The sixth result focuses on real-time payment data improve diagnosis but require disciplined interpretation and reveals a sequencing issue in the transmission of digital payments. Before monetary transmission can fully respond, high-frequency transaction data can reveal turning points in consumption earlier than conventional surveys, potentially improving policy monitoring; if that prerequisite is absent, an aggregate signal may be visible while the local decision remains weak.

The sequence underlying real-time payment data improve diagnosis but require disciplined interpretation is supported because digital-payment infrastructure generates granular indicators unavailable in cash transactions.

For real-time payment data improve diagnosis but require disciplined interpretation, process indicators are therefore valuable alongside final outcomes, especially where adjustment is slow or observed only at low

frequency.

For real-time payment data improve diagnosis but require disciplined interpretation, the remaining caution is that platform mix, seasonal campaigns, and changes in user composition can distort raw growth rates. Monitoring of digital payments should cover both prerequisite and outcome, and implementation should be designed so that policy institutions should construct stable panels and deflated transaction indicators before using them as macroeconomic signals.

#### 4.7 Integrated interpretation

Across the six findings, digital payments is best understood as a conditional process rather than a mechanical predictor of monetary transmission. The core question - How does wider use of digital payments alter the channels through which monetary policy reaches household spending and local merchant activity? - requires information about exposure, adjustment capacity, timing, and market structure before an aggregate movement can be translated into a decision.

This mechanism-based reading of digital payments also explains why credible studies can point in different directions. Research centered on monetary transmission may observe a different stage of adjustment from research centered on the initial shock, so disagreement should first be checked for unit, horizon, and institutional differences before being treated as contradictory evidence.

#### 4.8 Measurement framework for future empirical work

##### Exposure

A exposure indicator for digital payments should measure the unit-specific degree to which the proposed channel can operate. The finding on digital payments change transaction velocity before they change monetary policy itself implies recording the mechanism - lower payment friction allows balances to circulate more quickly and reduces the operational need for cash buffers, modifying the mapping from money stocks to spending flows - together with the limiting condition - higher velocity can reflect convenience rather than excess aggregate demand, so interpretation requires information on income and credit. Linking those fields to monetary transmission would make subsequent empirical testing more informative than reliance on a single aggregate rate.

##### Timing

A timing indicator for digital payments should separate immediate transmission from medium-term behavioral adjustment. The finding on merchant payment records can widen the lending channel implies recording the mechanism - electronic receipts create observable cash-flow histories that can reduce information gaps for micro and small merchants seeking formal finance - together with the limiting condition - data abundance does not guarantee affordable credit when collateral rules, risk models, or competition remain restrictive. Linking those fields to monetary transmission would make subsequent empirical testing more informative than reliance on a single aggregate rate.

##### Heterogeneity

A heterogeneity indicator for digital payments should report results for economically meaningful firm, household, sector, or regional groups. The finding on rate transmission is stronger where digital balances connect to savings and credit products implies recording the mechanism - an integrated account makes it

easier for users to shift money between transaction balances, deposits, and debt repayment when market rates change - together with the limiting condition - stand-alone wallets with capped or non-interest-bearing balances may have little direct sensitivity to policy rates. Linking those fields to monetary transmission would make subsequent empirical testing more informative than reliance on a single aggregate rate.

#### Process

A process indicator for digital payments should observe intermediate mechanisms together with final outcomes. The finding on network effects can accelerate local consumption responses implies recording the mechanism - when many consumers and merchants use interoperable digital payments, promotions, transfers, and settlement occur with fewer frictions, allowing income and credit shocks to reach retail spending quickly - together with the limiting condition - faster response does not imply a larger long-run multiplier and can be influenced by promotional subsidies. Linking those fields to monetary transmission would make subsequent empirical testing more informative than reliance on a single aggregate rate.

#### Counterfactual

A counterfactual indicator for digital payments should state the plausible outcome in the absence of the shock or intervention. The finding on digitalization can make transmission more inclusive but also more uneven implies recording the mechanism - remote or previously cash-based users may become connected to formal finance, while people with weak connectivity, low literacy, or limited documentation can remain outside the main channels - together with the limiting condition - a national adoption average can hide local gaps in reliability, merchant acceptance, and consumer protection. Linking those fields to monetary transmission would make subsequent empirical testing more informative than reliance on a single aggregate rate.

#### Data quality

A data quality indicator for digital payments should document definitions, missingness, revisions, and coverage for reproducibility. The finding on real-time payment data improve diagnosis but require disciplined interpretation implies recording the mechanism - high-frequency transaction data can reveal turning points in consumption earlier than conventional surveys, potentially improving policy monitoring - together with the limiting condition - platform mix, seasonal campaigns, and changes in user composition can distort raw growth rates. Linking those fields to monetary transmission would make subsequent empirical testing more informative than reliance on a single aggregate rate.

## 5. Policy and Managerial Implications

### Monetary monitoring

Integrate retail-payment indicators with money, credit, deposit, and consumption data so changes in velocity are not mistaken for changes in underlying purchasing power. For digital payments, this action is decision-relevant because it addresses the mechanism identified in digital payments change transaction velocity before they change monetary policy itself rather than assuming that every unit responds alike. Its implementation should begin with a baseline measure of monetary transmission and a stated criterion for evaluating whether exposure or adjustment capacity actually changes.

### Interoperability

Maintain interoperable payment rails and competitive access because fragmented networks can prevent local adoption from becoming a broad transmission channel. For digital payments, this action is decision-relevant because it addresses the mechanism identified in merchant payment records can widen the lending channel rather than assuming that every unit responds alike. Its implementation should begin with a baseline measure of monetary transmission and a stated criterion for evaluating whether exposure or adjustment capacity actually changes.

### Inclusion and protection

Measure active usage, failed transactions, dispute resolution, and merchant costs alongside adoption. For digital payments, this action is decision-relevant because it addresses the mechanism identified in rate transmission is stronger where digital balances connect to savings and credit products rather than assuming that every unit responds alike. Its implementation should begin with a baseline measure of monetary transmission and a stated criterion for evaluating whether exposure or adjustment capacity actually changes.

### Research agenda

Link anonymized payment intensity to local consumption, merchant credit, and policy-rate changes using designs that distinguish adoption effects from pre-existing regional differences. For digital payments, this action is decision-relevant because it addresses the mechanism identified in network effects can accelerate local consumption responses rather than assuming that every unit responds alike. Its implementation should begin with a baseline measure of monetary transmission and a stated criterion for evaluating whether exposure or adjustment capacity actually changes.

## 6. Limitations and Future Research

The principal limitation of this article is methodological: it is an integrative review of digital payments, not a new causal estimation. The synthesis can organize propositions about monetary transmission and identify boundary conditions, but unresolved identification problems in the underlying studies remain unresolved here. Any later empirical extension on digital payments should be presented as a separate design with its own data and assumptions.

The second limitation concerns comparability. Evidence on monetary transmission varies by geography, frequency, population, definition, and study period, while the measurement of digital payments is not uniform across sources. Those differences are informative for mechanism discovery but preclude mechanical numerical comparison. Future work on digital payments should expose those choices through metadata, sensitivity checks, and subgroup reporting.

A third limitation for digital payments is the speed mismatch between policy information and peer review. Official releases can describe the current environment for digital payments quickly, but contemporaneous description does not by itself establish an effect on monetary transmission. For digital payments, the research agenda below prioritizes linked microdata, explicit identification, and transparent robustness analysis.

### 6.1 Indonesia-specific research agenda

A first priority is to build a data architecture that can observe digital payments at the same decision level where monetary transmission is determined. The review's finding on digital payments change transaction velocity before they change monetary policy itself indicates that future datasets should capture lower payment friction allows balances to circulate more quickly and reduces the operational need for cash buffers, modifying the mapping from money stocks to spending flows. In practical terms, Link anonymized payment intensity to local consumption, merchant credit, and policy-rate changes using designs that distinguish adoption effects from pre-existing regional differences. For future digital payments research, the objective is not simply to increase sample size, but to align the unit of observation with the mechanism that the theory actually describes.

A second priority is identification. For the merchant payment records can widen the lending channel, researchers should exploit timing, institutional thresholds, policy discontinuities, or plausibly exogenous shocks when available, while reporting pre-trends and alternative specifications. Because data abundance does not guarantee affordable credit when collateral rules, risk models, or competition remain restrictive, a credible design should show that changes in monetary transmission are not merely the product of simultaneous composition shifts or reverse causality. In studies of monetary transmission, where experimental or quasi-experimental designs are impossible, transparent longitudinal diagnostics are preferable to strong causal language based on one cross-section.

Third, heterogeneity in digital payments should be pre-specified rather than discovered after estimation. The mechanism associated with rate transmission is stronger where digital balances connect to savings and credit products suggests grouping observations by exposure, market structure, income or firm size, geography, and relevant institutional constraints before drawing policy conclusions about digital payments. This is especially important because stand-alone wallets with capped or non-interest-bearing balances may have little direct sensitivity to policy rates. Reporting distributions and subgroup estimates can reveal whether an apparently small average effect on monetary transmission conceals large gains and losses for distinct populations.

Finally, research on digital payments should be reproducible enough for cumulative learning. The qualification attached to network effects can accelerate local consumption responses - faster response does not imply a larger

long-run multiplier and can be influenced by promotional subsidies - makes sensitivity analysis essential. Future digital payments studies should publish variable definitions, source dates, transformation rules, missing-data treatment, and code where permissions allow; they should also distinguish preregistered hypotheses from exploratory analysis. These practices would make subsequent evidence on monetary transmission easier to compare without pretending that different settings are statistically identical.

## 7. Conclusion

This review asked: How does wider use of digital payments alter the channels through which monetary policy reaches household spending and local merchant activity? The accumulated evidence supports a conditional answer: the effect associated with digital payments changes with the economic unit's exposure, institutional setting, market structure, time horizon, and capacity to adjust, and those differences shape the observed outcome for monetary transmission.

The six synthesized mechanisms move the analysis of digital payments from aggregate description toward operational diagnosis. A useful decision process identifies the active channel, measures the relevant subgroup exposure, records the binding constraint, and evaluates whether the proposed response changes that constraint before inferring improvement in monetary transmission.

For future Indonesia research, the priority is therefore not a larger collection of undifferentiated averages, but more granular and reproducible evidence on digital payments linked to the decision level at which monetary transmission changes. For this digital payments manuscript, and the journal editor should

verify the interpretations and bibliography, confirm authorship, and assign the final issue metadata before OJS publication.

## Editorial Note

This is a conceptual review prepared with editorial assistance. It reports no newly collected empirical observations or causal estimates. Historical source context is separated from the analytical propositions developed in the discussion.

## Historical source context and interpretation

Historical macroeconomic context is drawn from Indonesia's 2023 Article IV consultation (International Monetary Fund, 2023). The report provides a pre-cutoff policy context for interpreting monetary, fiscal and external conditions. It does not establish the firm-level or regional causal relationships proposed in this review; those relationships require separate empirical testing.

## References

Sahay, R., von Allmen, U. E., Lahreche, A., Khera, P., Ogawa, S., Bazarbash, M., & Beaton, K. (2020). The promise of fintech: Financial inclusion in the post COVID-19 era. IMF Departmental Paper No. 20/09. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.

International Monetary Fund. (2023). Indonesia: 2023 Article IV Consultation - Press Release; Staff Report; and Statement by the Executive Director for Indonesia. IMF Country Report No. 23/221. <https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400244988.002>